



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_37943
Title of the Manuscript:	Decision making behaviour of Medicinal Plant Growers
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Line 121 medium and young aged is mentioned yet no data to support it	Line121 is supported with data
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Line 5...Household Line 6.... Use Had, line 7 ... use of hence, line 8... adding to between comparing and other, use of etc in line 13. Line 21 use of increasing instead of increase and use of the in line 24 may be unnecessary. Use of et. al. et al., Line 35, the sentence seems incomplete Line 44... there are Line 45 because and reason is one thing author may choose one Line 49 .. How were the blocks chosen Line 56 ... using well structured may need revision Line 75 use of perusal may be replaced by analysis and Table 2 instead of Table 1 Line 84 starting of sentence with And.... may be revised	Completed all mentioned corrections
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Editor may advise on italicization of abstract	If editor mentions to remove italicization of abstract I will do the same