



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_37721
Title of the Manuscript:	Profitability and Viability Analysis of Aquaculture production in Central Uganda: Urban and Peri-Urban Areas
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. The study choose only 53 sample in three district is this enough, where in other papers the authors takes 200 samples in Uganda. 2. Line 25 the word "reference" what does it mean? 3. The equation should be written in the equation form. 4. Symbols definitions after the equation may be grouped in one paragraph. 5. Depreciation of fixed cost equation has no number. 6. Discount factor equation is incorrect please check the equation. 7. The references start from [11] in the introduction, it should be rearranged and start from [1]. 8. Line "88" model specification; what is that title or subtitle or what, it should take a number. 9. Section 2.3; where is 2.1and 2.2? 10. Section 3; there is no need to 3.1 results subtitle. 11. Line "143" socio- economic has different style form in the paper please checked. 12. The sentence after table 1 what does it meant? 13. table 4 are not clear; it should using only one currency 14. Section "4.0" needs correction. 15. Conclusion is section 5 not 4. 16. References 3, 4, 13, 19, 20, 21, 22 should be corrected. 17. Language and grammars should be checked in many places.	The following are some of the reasons why we selected a sample of 53 farmers (ii) the study only focused on urban and peri-urban areas who had at least produced and sold fish in the last production cycle- of which number of farmers is limited in these areas. (ii) this study had anticipated a bigger sample however it was found out that some of the farms on the selection list had closed down therefore all could not be considered for interviews 2. Reference was supposed to be inserted 3. All the equations are in equation form, however when you use Arial for formatting the equations do not appear in the menu bar, so I have to work from a different template then past them in the work. 4. All the equations have been put in one paragraph as suggested by the reviewer. 5. Depreciation equation has been numbered 6. Discount factor equation has been deleted as suggested by the reviewer since it suits times series data. 7. The document quoted in the introduction is at no 11 in the reference list, I don't know how I make it no one. 8. Model specification subtitle has been corrected to profit function 9. section 2.3 has been corrected, 3.1 sub title has been deleted 10. Style form for socioeconomics results formatting has been corrected to Arial 10 12. I was defining the culture systems, how all the write up in that section has been re-edited. 13. Currency form used is US \$ 14. Section 4 has been re-written based on the findings 16. references have been cross checked and corrected 17. we have read through the manuscript correcting the grammar and we hope it the article fits the journal's standards
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		