Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJAEES_36915**
Title of the Manuscript:	**TRAINING NEEDS OF THE STATE OFFICIALS OF AGRICULTURE AND ALLIED DEPARTMENTS OF WESTERN INDIA**
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. **Be su**re to include the summarized findings of your entire objectives in the abstract. Note: You only included findings on the training needs. 2. I realized that you only outlined two objectives whereas in your result discussion you reported on three objectives. So include the third objective which be to identify the trainings already conducted by this body to the staffs	Noted.
Minor REVISION comments	Endeavour to give the implication of all your findings. For example, majority of the respondents are young and graduate, what implication will these have on their training needs, will they need more or less training etc.	
Optional/General comments		