



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_33240
Title of the Manuscript:	Traditional Fish traps and indigenous fishing devices of North Malabar region of Kerala
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	In my opinion, there are some necessary revisions to improve its quality. 1) the manuscript needs language and style revisions. There are too many orthographic errors. 2) the title suggests the practice of fishing by traditional population, but there is no information about this eventual practice in the manuscript. I suggest the authors explain the origin of those fish traps, describing as well, the fishermen observed during field trips. 3) I suggest to the authors insert a map of study area. In this map, the presence of fish traps can be indicated in each river.	1. The language and style has been improved and the orthographic errors have been corrected. 2. These traps have been used traditionally by fishermen in the rivers. They do not belong to any particular ethnic/group/tribes and are not isolated from the mainstream population. 3. Map of the study area indicating the regions where different traps have been used has been indicated.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		