



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_28619
Title of the Manuscript:	Analyzing the Agricultural livelihood Strategic Components in the Zambezi region, Namibia: A Chi-Square Test
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. Methodology: there is the need for the authors to describe the study area in order for the audience to have a grasp of the research location. 2. There are some assumptions in the results and discussion e.g the abnormal and normal weather conditions. This should have been defined in the methodology and not introduced in the result and discussion. 3. Type of data collected must be clearly spelt out 4. Need to explain the harvesting scenarios and environmental factors discussed in the results and discussion in the methodology 5. For clarity, it will be better if the authors define what is meant by MAIZE I, MAIZE II, MAIZE III (Agronomic livelihood strategic component), CATTLE I, CATTLE II (animal husbandry components) and so on. 6. Is it possible to have some information on the socio/demographic characteristics of the household? 7. The maize harvest should be reported in scalar quantities (metric tons) instead of using bags	• The study area was described and a map was also added. • The assumptions have been defined. • The data and the processes of collecting it were all explained. • The harvesting scenarios were explained. • MAIZE I and II for all crops and livestock were all dealt with accordingly. • Socio/demographic features of the household were included and explained. • The maize harvest is now in scalar quantities.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The member of the household interviewed was not stated. There is the need for a "Conclusion" to reflect findings of the study.	The member of the household that was interviewed is now described. The conclusion that reflects the findings is now in the picture.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		