



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_34867
Title of the Manuscript:	Communication Pattern of Supervisors of Integrated Child Development Scheme (ICDS) Project
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Editor's Comment:

	Editor's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. To comply with international standards, the Abstract" must have 1000 characters. This abstract has 1180 characters with no spaces (1392 with spaces). Therefore, authors must make it a little bit shorter. 2. The Abstract should cover three major areas briefly; the purpose of the study, the methodology and some of the results. 3. The "Abstract has many grammatical errors. Singular and plural names should be differentiated. One simple example was in the first line of the Abstract (..... objective to study the communication pattern.....) It should be corrected to patterns. Another example , (Anganawadi worker and beneficiaries Should be (Anganawadi workers and beneficiaries Many such these errors must be corrected 4. Capitalization must be observed all over the study. Many words were capitalized while they shouldn't. One simple example, Supervisors.... why it was capitalized ???. It should be capitalized when it is with (Home Science and 30 from Non- Home Science Supervisors)	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	Another example, about proper and improper capitalization - anganawadi,- especially under the (Results). This is a name and should be capitalized at all times. 5. Some of the references are not listed in the text, but mentioned in the (List of References). Authors need to check them all. 6. The Results cannot be put before the "Discussions". Explaining tables must be put before the results. 7. It was stated that the sample size was 60 (30 in each group), but none of numbers in the columns in the tables equal 60 .	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Editor's Details:

Dr. Jamal Alrusheidat
Assistant and Consultant to Director general for Extension Education,
Director of Extension Education Department, National Centre for Agricultural Research and Extension (NCARE), Amman, Jordan