

Editor's Comment:

According to my former remarks nothing was improved. Author completely ignored my comments and remarks, even I signed them in red colour and told what had to be changed. In this situation I can't accept this paper until the moment everything will be improved. I know there are technical errors but ignoring them it is not serious on the part of the author. Maybe I am too strict, but what is the worst it is exactly ignoring comments and remarks of others. I want to be reliable and do the job well, so I try.

I give you one example: the word percent – in this paper it is written in 3 different forms: per cent, percent and cent!!! (page 4, below the table 1 and 2) – you can see; the same with: **socio psychological, socio-psychological, socio-psychological (p. 4-5); another example: (2011) [16] and (2013)[17]**. It is very obvious that it must be unified. But there are a lot of such errors ([12]on – no space between; or two space instead of only one: that a – page 3), or on page no 7: 63.3% and 70 %; or " and "; or Self-help (p.1) and Self - Help (p.11); or Thoratet al/ (p.12); or **as compare too the non members** (p.13). I didn't indicate all of "per cent" which must be improved, this is an Author's job, I've just mentioned some of them and He has to find all of them and improve. If you don't care about these errors let me know then I accept it even with these errors.

Editor's Details:

Dr. Maciej Kozlowski
Associate professor,
Department of Economics and Sociology, Institute of Economics University of Lodz, Poland