

Original Research Article

Impact of MGNREGA on Poverty and Ameliorate Socio-economic Status: A Study in Pauri Garhwal District of Uttarakhand

Abstract

India is mostly an agricultural country in which the power of rural unskilled labour is very high and the most people of the rural poor depend mostly on the wages they earn through unskilled, informal, and manual labour. The main effect of the Mahatma Gandhi National Rural Employment Guarantee Act (MGNREGA) is to improve the livelihood conditions of the rural poor by providing employment. The Act provides an opportunity to work in the lean season, which helps rural poor to keep the consumption level and strengthen the livelihood resource base during this critical period. Only the growth of the economy cannot create social justice and balanced development unless it is attached with poverty improvement and employment generating opportunity for a poor and marginalised section of the society. It was perhaps the largest and most ambitious social security and public works programme in the world. Thus, a study on the impact of MGNREGA in generating employment and increase in income of the selected respondents in selected blocks of the district Pauri Garhwal and linear regression has been used as the statistical tool to measure such impact. The results revealed that there is a significant impact of MGNREGA in generating employment and increase in income of the respondents in the selected village of the district.

Keywords: *Employment, Income, Poverty, livelihood*

Introduction

In countries where the economy is predominantly, rural with agriculture absorbing the major share of labour force, broad-based rural development programs hold the key to economic progress. Programs to create direct employment opportunities for wageworkers through public works have in the past focused on the generation of supplementary employment opportunities, especially during lean periods. They have been considered as an important component of the anti-poverty strategy. These programs are expected to create durable assets for the community and thus enhance further economic activities (Azeez and Akhtar, 2015). Wage employment

program also pushes up demand for labour and thus exert an upward pressure on the market wage rates by attracting people to public works programs thereby reducing supply of cheap labour often at wages that would not even meet their bare basic needs (Kaur, and Randhawa, 2016 and De, and Jana, 2009). To deal with the unemployment problem, Government of India has launched, from time to time, various employment programs.

As the Table 1, depicts that the Mahatma Gandhi National Rural Employment Guarantee Act (MGNREGA) is an Indian job guarantee scheme, enacted by legislation on August 25, 2005. The Act received the assent of the President on September 5, 2005 and was notified in the Gazette of India on September 7, 2005 (MoRD, 2006-07 and Kareemulla et al., 2010). The law was initially called the National Rural Employment Guarantee Act (NREGA) but was renamed as Mahatma Gandhi National Rural Employment Guarantee Scheme (MGNREGA) on 2 October 2009. The Act was legalized and notified in 200 districts in the first phase with effect from 2 February 2006 and then extended to an additional 130 districts in the financial year 2007-2008 out of it 113 districts were notified with effect from April 1st, 2007, and 17 districts in Uttar Pradesh were notified with effect from May 15th 2007. The remaining districts have been notified under MGNREGA with effect from April 1st 2008. . It was perhaps the largest and most ambitious social security and public works programme in the world.

Uttarakhand, the MGNREGA was initially launched in 3 districts i.e., Chamoli, Champawat and Tehri in 2006-07 (Phase 1). Two additional districts, i.e., Haridwar and Udham Singh Nagar were adopted in phase II (2007-08). In the last phase, all the remaining districts have been notified under the NREGA. (Singh and Nauriyal 2009 and Negi *et al.* 2015). MGNREGA was applied to district Pauri Garhwal in the third phase and the implementation of MGNREGA scheme in the district commenced on April 1, 2008. (Singh *et al.* 2015)

Table1: Profile of MGNREGA

Year	Particulars
August 2005	Parliament passed an act called as NREGA
February 2006	Came into force in 200 districts
April 2007	130 more districts included
April 2008	Universalization of the scheme
October 2008	Wage transaction through banks/post offices

February 2009	MOU with the postal department
2nd October 2009	Name changed to MGNREGA

Source: <http://www.nrega.net>

Objectives of the Study

1. To assess the socio-economic status of the respondent in the selected block.
2. To examine the impact of MGNREGA in generating employment in the selected block.
3. To examine the impact of MGNREGA on an income of the respondent in the selected block.

Literature Review

MGNREGA being the largest public welfare program has drawn a lot of thought of the leading economists and researchers. Therefore, it is necessary to give the findings and recommendations of the most vital experts in this field. De & Jana 2013, Sarkar, Kumar & Supriya (2011), Bhat & Mariyappan 2015, De and Dasgupta 2009 and Singh & Singh 2013 examine the impact of MGNREGA on reducing rural poverty and improving the socioeconomic status of rural poor and found that MGNREGA has gradually raised the standard of rural livelihood. Tiwari & Upadhyay 2012, Kumar & Bhattacharya 2013, Das 2012 and Garg 2008, studied the participation of women in MGNREGA and findings of the study indicate that the constraints limited the rural women accessibility towards achieving the benefits optimally.

Methods and Materials

Data Collection

The study is undertaken in rural areas of 9 villages of 3 block namely Kaljikhhal, Dugadda and Pokhra. Both primary and secondary data are used. However, major emphasis is laid on Primary data, which is collected from a field survey in the study area. Secondary data is collected from websites, journals, reports and other documents. The period of data collection is May, 2014 to March, 2016.

Sampling Method

Convenient sampling is followed. The villages, which are easily accessible, have been chosen for the study. In this way, 9 villages have been selected from Kaljikhhal, Dugadda and Pokhra blocks. Table 2 shows the selected block, villages and respondents. The sample size of the study is 150 respondents.

Statistical Tool

Frequency, Percentage and Linear regression and Pearson correlation coefficient have been used to test the hypotheses. Excel and SPSS software package were used for data analysis.

Table 2: Shows the selected block, villages and respondents

Selected Block	Village Selected	Total Beneficiaries
Kaljikhhal	3	52
Pokhra	3	48
Dugadda	3	50

Result Discussion

Socio-economic Profile of the Respondents

Table 3 shows a brief demographic profile of the selected respondents. Majority of the respondents were belonging to the age group of 41-50. 43.3 percent respondent were male while 56.7 percent female. These results are in same with the findings of (Das 2012). As far as education of the respondents is concerned, majority were found to be Primary. However, 57 were living in kucca house whereas 14.0 percent were in kacca-pacca houses. These results are in conformity with the findings of (De, & Jana 2009 & 2013 and Bhat, and Mariyappan, 2015).

Table 3: Demographic Profile of the Respondents

Demographic Profile of the Respondents	Attributes	Frequency	Percentage
Age of the Respondents	18-30	4	2.7
	31-40	23	15.3
	41-50	53	35.3
	51-60	48	32.0
	Above 60	22	14.7
Gender	Male	65	43.3
	Female	85	56.7
Education status	Illiterate	80	53.3
	Primary	53	35.3
	Secondary	11	7.4
	Intermediate	6	4.0

Condition of the House	Pacca	72	48.0
	Kacca	57	38.0
	Kacca/Pacca	21	14.0
Toilet Facility	Yes	83	55.3
	No	67	44.7

The impact of MGNREGA in generating employment in selected blocks of Pauri Garhwal District has been measured by applying linear regression. The independent variable is MGNREGA and the dependent variable is employment status of the respondents. The null hypothesis is that there is no significant impact of MGNREGA in generating employment and the alternate hypothesis states that there is a significant impact of MGNREGA in generating employment in selected blocks.

Table 4: Shows the Correlation between MGNREGA and Employment Status

Pearson Correlation	Model-1	Employment Status	MGNREGA
	Employment Status	1.000	0.773
	MGNREGA	0.773	1.000

Table 4 shows the coefficient of correlation between the two variables. The coefficient of correlation between MGNREGA and employment status is 0.773, which indicates a very high and positive relationship between the two variables.

Table 5: Regression Model of MGNREGA & Employment Status

R^2	0.598
Adjusted R^2	0.595
Standard Deviation	1.060
Standard Error	0.873
Durbin Watson	0.886
Unstandardized Coefficients	0.979
Significant Value	0.000

Table 5 exhibits the regression model of MGNREGA and employment status. R square shows the amount of variation in one variable (employment status) that is accounted by independent variable MGNREGA. The above table shows the value of R^2 is 0.598. It means 59.8 percent variation in employment status is explained by MGNREGA and rest of the variation percent in employment status is an unexplained variation. Besides, this impact is strong and statistically significant as the value significant value is 0.000, which is less than 0.05 at 95 percent confidence interval. Therefore, the null hypothesis is rejected and it can be said that there is a significant impact of MGNREGA in generating employment in selected blocks.

Table 6: Correlation between MGNREGA and Income

Pearson Correlation	Model-1	Income	MGNREGA
	Income	0.901	1.00
	MGNREGA	1.000	0.901

Table 6 shows the coefficient of correlation between the two variables. The coefficient of correlation 0.769 is which indicates a positive relationship between the two variables which indicates a very high and positive relationship between the two variables

Table 7: Regression Model of MGNREGA & Income

R^2	0.811
Adjusted R^2	0.810
Standard Deviation	1.032
Standard Error	0.500
Durbin Watson	1.412
Unstandardized Coefficients	0.952
Significant Value	0.000

Table 7 exhibits the regression model of MGNREGA and Income. R square shows the amount of variation in one variable (Income) that is accounted by independent variable MGNREGA. The above table shows the value of R square is 0.811. It means 81.1 percent variation in income is explained by MGNREGA and rest of the variation is an unexplained variation. Besides, this impact is strong and statistically significant as the value significant value is 0.000, which is less than 0.05 at 95 percent confidence interval. Therefore, the null hypothesis is rejected and it can

131 be said that there is a significant impact of MGNREGA on income in selected blocks. 0.952.

132 These results are in conformity with the findings of (Sami and Khan, 2016).

133

134

Table 8: Summary of Hypothesis Tested

S.N.	Hypotheses	R ²	Beta Sig.	Value	Results
1	MGNREGA has no significant impact in generating employment in selected blocks.	0.598	0.79	0.000	Rejected
2	MGNREGA has no significant impact on income in selected blocks.	0.811	0.952	0.000	Rejected

135

136 Table 8 shows the summary of hypothesis tested. All the three hypothesis of the study have been
 137 rejected. It means there is a significant impact of MGNREGA in generating employment, and an
 138 increase in income of the selected respondents in selected blocks of the district Pauri Garhwal,
 139 Uttarakhand.

140 Major Findings

- 141 1. The study reveals that work under MGNREGA requires hard labour for which the
 142 individual has to be physically strong. Overall women outnumber men as beneficiaries
 143 and 82.0 of the beneficiaries are in the age group of 40 to above 60. Young age
 144 population is not attracted by this scheme.
- 145 2. The impact of MGNREGA in generating employment in selected blocks of district Pauri
 146 Garhwal has been measured by applying linear regression. The regression model of
 147 MGNREGA and employment status shows the value of R² is 0.598, which means 59.8
 148 percent variation in employment status is explained by MGNREGA and rest of the
 149 variation percent in employment status is an unexplained variation. Further, the value of
 150 unstandardized beta coefficients is 0.979 which shows that if MGNREGA increases by
 151 one unit, then employment status will increase by 0.979 times.
- 152 3. The regression model of MGNREGA and income shows the value of R² is 0.811, which
 153 means 81.1 percent variation in income is explained by MGNREGA and rest of the
 154 variation is an unexplained variation. Moreover, the results show that the value of
 155 unstandardized beta coefficients is 0.952, which shows that if MGNREGA increases by
 156 one unit, then income will increase by times 0.952 times. The significant value is 0.000,
 157 which is less than 0.05 at 95 percent confidence interval.

158

159

Conclusion

Mahatma Gandhi National Rural Employment Guarantee Act (MGNREGA) is considered as a boon in exterminates rural poverty and unemployment by way of generating demand for productive labour force in. In this backdrop, an effort has been made by the researcher to observe the impact of MGNREGA in generating employment and increase in income of the selected respondents in selected blocks of the district Pauri Garhwal and linear regression has been used as the statistical tool to measure such impact. The results revealed that there is a significant impact of MGNREGA in generating employment and increase in income of the respondents in selected village of the district.

References

1. Azeez NPA, Akhtar SMJ. Mahatma Gandhi National Rural Employment Guarantee Act (MGNREGA), Provisions, Implementation and Performance, 2015
2. Bhat BB, Mariyappan P. MGNREGA: A New Hope to Reduce Rural Poverty. Int. J. of Innov. Res. & Dev. 2015;4(8):347-351.
3. De B, Dasgupta B. Implementation of MG-NREGA in Rural West Bengal: A Case Study Of Sonamukhi Block, Bankura District, W.B. Asian Journal of Multidimensional Research:2013: 2(6):17-30
4. Das. Examining India's Mahatma Gandhi National Rural Employment Guarantee Act (MGNREGA): Its Impact and Women's Participation. Inte. J. of Res. in Manag. 2012;6(2): 44-46.
5. De B, Jana S. Socio Economic Determinants of Participation in Mgnrega: A Study in Bankura District of Rural West Bengal: Int. J. of Res. in Comm. Eco. & Manag., 2013;3(5):48-54.
6. De B, Jana S. Implementation of MGNREGA in Rural West Bengal: A Case Study of Sonamukhi Block, Bankura District, W.B.: Asian J. of Multid. Res. 2009;2(6):17-30
7. Garg S. Economic Impact of National Rural Employment Guarantee Programme on Women. M.Sc. Thesis, Unpublished. Mahrana Pratap University of Agriculture and Technology, Udaipur. 2008
8. Kareemulla K, Kumar, S, Reddy KS, Rao CA. Rama VB. Impact of NREGS on Rural Livelihoods and Agricultural Capital Formation, Indian Journal of Agricultural Economics. 2010: 65(3):

- 191 9. Kaur B, Randhawa V. Impact of MGNREGA on Quality of Life of MGNREGA
192 Beneficiaries in Punjab: *Asian J. of Agri. Ext. Eco. & Socio.* 2016: 11(4):1-10,
- 193 10. Kumar U, Bhattacharya P. Participation of women in MGNREGA: How far is it
194 successful in Morigaon, Assam. *Indian Journal of Economics and Development.* 2013: 1:
195 65-66
- 196 11. Ministry of Rural Development. National rural Employment Guarantee Act
197 (NREGA)”.Department of Rural Development, Government of India: New Delhi. 2006-
198 07.
- 199 12. Negi RS, Singh S, Dhanai R. Impact Assessment of MGNREGA: Study of Pauri Garhwal
200 District of Uttarakhand, India: *Int. J. of Multid. and Curr. Res,* 2015:3(1):94-97.
- 201 13. Sami L, Khan A. Mahatma Gandhi National Rural Employment Guarantee Act
202 (Mgnrega): A Tool For Employment Generation: *Int. J. Soc. Sc. Manage.* 2016:3(4):281-
203 286.
- 204 14. Sarkar P, Kumar J, Supriya. Impact of MGNREGA on Reducing Rural Poverty and
205 Improving Socio-economic Status of Rural Poor: A Study in Burdwan District of West
206 Bengal, *Agricultural Economics Research Review.* 2011: 24, Conference: 437-448
- 207 15. Singh SM, Singh KhT. Rural Poverty Alleviation Programmes: A Study of Mgnrega in
208 Manipur: *Int. J. of Hum. and Social Sci. Inven.* 2013:2(9):39-43.
- 209 16. Singh S, Negi RS, Dhanai R, Parmar MK. Performance of MGNREGA to Poverty
210 Reduction: Study of Pauri Garhwal District, Uttarakhand. *International Journal for*
211 *Innovative Research in Science and Technology,* 2015:1(7):257-260.
- 212 17. Singh SP, Nauriyal DK. System and Process Review and Impact Assessment of NREGS
213 in the State of Uttarakhand. *Indian Institute of Technology Roorkee.* 2009.
- 214 18. Tiwari N, Upadhyay R.. Constraints faced by the Women Beneficiaries under Mahatma
215 Gandhi National Rural Employment Guarantee Act (MGNREGA). *Stud. Home Com. Sci.*
216 2012: 6(2): 99-102