

Importance of Field Extension Training for Farmers of Alfalfa (*Medicago sativa* L.) to

Adopt Weed Control Techniques

Mohunnad Massimi*

**Irrigation Modeling Fellow Scholar of USDA, Norman Borlaug Fellowship*

Agronomist and Extension Officer of NCARE, National Center for Agricultural Research and Extension, Jordan-Zarqa 13110, P.O.Box: 1433

Abstract

Climate change may influence field crop weeds survival and distribution. Few investigations are available about the negative effect of noxious weeds on crop quality. Several studies were conducted to evaluate weed distribution of alfalfa fields. Eight years study case of surveys, extension program, and questionnaires was carried out in two regions of Wadi Dlayl and Kherbeh Sides in the Zarqa River Basin of Jordan to study the distribution of weeds in alfalfa fields. Results indicated and reported that species of white top and dodder were the most common occurred species in Wadi Dlayl. However, Kherbeh Sides region was more influenced with the types of amaranthus and common mallow. The purpose of this paper is to highlight the most effective extension approaches to weed identification which was observed in alfalfa. Training can promote farmers to become as pioneer farmers with knowledge about weed ecology, and growing habits to choose the most appropriate chemical management strategy with lower costs and time saving.

Keywords: Alfalfa; Control; Extension; Weeds.

Introduction

Alfalfa (*Medicago sativa* L) is the oldest forage crop grown solely for forage purposes, which made a tremendous contribution to world food production. Alfalfa is one of the major hybrid crops grown in the world, along with rye, Sudan grass, silage corn, forage sorghum and barley [11] as well as triticale [10]. It appears to have originated in the mountainous regions of the Mediterranean in South-Western Asia. The great root depth, high seed production, and tolerance to heat under dry climatic conditions indicate that this crop must have originated in dry-hot regions. [12] Reported that forage growers admire alfalfa for its high yielding capacity, its wide adaptation to climatic conditions, its disease resistance and its powerful feeding quality. Alfalfa makes a tremendous contribution to world food production. It is one of the world's most versatile crops which can be grown in environments ranging from burning hot deserts to cool high mountain valleys, from the frozen continental climates to the Mediterranean valleys [12]. Alfalfa can grow on soils ranging from beach sands to heavy clay. It can be grazed, fed fresh as green chop, baled, cubed, pelleted, or ensiled.

However, weeds are serious economic pests of alfalfa and affect it during growth establishment and in the already established fields. They are the primary production pests of alfalfa, and can compete either directly or indirectly by serving as hosts for insect, disease, and nematode pests [7]. Since alfalfa is a perennial, some weeds are problems only during stand establishment and little threat during the subsequent years. A wide range of various weed species, including annuals and perennials, warm and cool season grasses, and parasitic and poisonous plants infest alfalfa [2]. [7] Reported that in a full, healthy stand, alfalfa will prevent establishment of common lambsquarters (*Chenopodium album* L), redroot pigweed (*Amaranthus retroflexus* L), foxtail barley (*Hordeum jubatum* L), and dandelion (*Taraxacum officinale* L). Other weeds, both annuals and perennials, including flixweed (*Descurainia sophia* L), tumble mustard (*Sisymbrium altissimum* L), shepherd's purse (*Capsella bursa-pastoris* L), white campion (*Silene latifolia* Poir, or *Silene alba* Mill), and quackgrass (*Elytigia repens* L) may be present every year and must be managed. Grasses such as quackgrass, barnyardgrass (*Echinochloa crus-galli* L), cheatgrass (*Bromus tectorum* L), and wild oats (*Avena fatua* L), and broadleaf weeds such as white campion, common groundsel (*Senecio vulgaris* L), redroot pigweed, lambsquarters, dodder (*Cuscuta* spp L), and various muskards including shepherd's purse compete for nutrient resources and damage the marketability of hay, reducing the crop value by 20 to 50%. Furthermore, noxious weeds presenting hay prevents export to foreign markets. [2] Concluded that alfalfa yields are sometimes reduced, but more often yield is higher when weeds are not controlled. Weeds affect alfalfa qualities since most weeds are less palatable with a lower feeding value. [15] Reported an outline of annuals and perennials that have an impact on alfalfa quality. The most serious annuals having a negative impact on alfalfa are cocklebur, eastern black nightshade, giant foxtail, giant ragweed, smart weeds and yellow foxtails. But, the perennials with high relative seriousness were curly dock, hoary alyssum and yellow rocket.

Several reasons reported on the increase weed pressure in alfalfa stand. Addition of nitrogen can increase weed pressure ([5]; [6]; [8]). While the weeds are not often a problem after the first harvesting of the crop [13], growers should be prepared to address the potentially initial weed pressure with appropriate weed management tools ([6]; [13]). In addition to supplying nitrogen that could stimulate weed growth of the establishment phase ([5]; [6]; [8]) manure can also be an important source of weed seeds ([3]; [14]).

The main goals of this research paper are to highlight the most effective extension approaches to weed identification observed in alfalfa. Training by a long-term survey, extension programs, and a questionnaire can foster target farmers to become as pioneer farmers in their

area with a full knowledge and skills about weed ecology, persistence, and growing habits in order to choose the most appropriate management strategy and, or to make a management in a well precise time, proper herbicides dosages, time saving, and lower costs.

72

Methodology

13 Extension Approaches in Wadi Dlayl Irrigation Project (WDIP)

Wadi Dlayl is the best area in Jordan, where dairy cows are reared. The number of cattle estimated at 2007 was (42893) out of the total of the country (88215). This area is the most important for producing green forages like alfalfa [4]. Wadi Dlayl region (E 36° N 32°) is about 581 m ASL. The most predominantly soil types of the region are clay soil.

1.1 Field Survey

A field survey conducted in 2008 for Wadi Dlayl Irrigation Project (WDIP) showed that the total planted area of the project is 55 ha divided into growing parts, each one ranges between 2.5-3 ha. The major forage crop planted is Alfalfa (*Medicago sativa* L).

A preliminary survey conducted to evaluate field growers' strengths and weaknesses related to alfalfa production in the project. Random sampling of six growers covered an area of 19.15 ha showed that alfalfa plant seeded at a rate of 53 Kg/ha. The most used cultivar of a perennial alfalfa is (cuf 101) irrigated by channels and surface irrigation of ground water, planted twice either in spring (March–April) or in fall (October–November). The plant harvested at an average of nine times per year, each green harvest produced an average of 18 tons/ha. The fertilizers used in an average of 74 kg of Urea/ha and 50 kg of Ammonium Sulfate/ha, and 77 kg of organic cow manure/ha. Alfalfa irrigated by 90 m³/ha in winter and 230 m³/ha in summer months, the irrigation frequency is once a week.

1.2 Extension Observation

In 2014 plot observations were conducted at a pioneer farmer field in the region to use herbicides controlling weeds in alfalfa. Four alfalfa plots, each of 25 m² were sprayed with an active ingredient called *Bromoxynil Octanoate* (28%) using two liters weed hand sprayer. The four treatments without replicates were (8, 10, 13, and 15 ml/ 2L of water). In addition, one 25 m² plot was sprayed with an active ingredient of *Fluazifop-P-Butyl* (125 gm/L) in a rate of 10 ml/ 2L of water using two liters weed hand sprayer. The pioneer farmer was trained for the ideal cultural practices of alfalfa production; seeding cultivar (cuf 101) during March in a rate of 60 kg/ha, fertilized with a 300 Kg of Di-Ammonium Phosphate (DAP)/ha at the planting time, 60 kg of Urea/ha added after germination and after each harvest. Nine green forage harvests expected each year, once every twenty one day's except in the winter season. The

irrigation is 1000 m³/ha after planting, then 600 m³/ha every seven days. The yield on average is about 25 tons/ha/harvest.

The same observation indicated that the herbicide *Bromoxynil Octanoate* (28%) is recommended being used at a rate of 4000 ml/ha before spring planting to control white top (*Catalpa draba* L). However, *Fluazifop-P-Butyl* (125 gm/L) is recommended being used in a rate of 2000 ml/ha to control such weeds after first alfalfa harvesting; common barnyard grass (*Echinochloa crusgalli* L), bermuda grass (*Cynodon dactylon* L), and common pegalum (*Pegalum harmala* L). The dosage of 2800 ml/ha of *Fluazifop-P-Butyl* (125 gm/L) is recommended being used to control dodder (*Cuscuta* spp L) when alfalfa aged eighty six days.

2. Extension Approaches in Kherbeh Sides Region

Treated wastewater is a very important non-conventional water source of Zarqa River Basin. The main source is the effluent from Kherbeh Als-Samra wastewater treatment plant, which handles 70% of Jordan wastewater. It provides with 365000 m³ per day of treated wastewater. The length of the treated wastewater effluent route from the plant until King Talal Dam (KTD) is 35 km sided with several types of plants covered 370 ha. Alfalfa is the most widely planted green forage crops. This region (E 36° N 32°) is about 550 m ASL. The most predominantly soils in this region are sand soil.

2.1 Field Survey

A preliminary survey conducted to evaluate ten growers points of strengths and weaknesses related to alfalfa production with a total area of about 56.4 ha showed that alfalfa plant seeds at a rate of 50 Kg/ha. The most used cultivar of a perennial alfalfa is (cuf 101) irrigated by surface irrigation of treated wastewater, planted twice either in spring (March–April) or in fall (October–November). The plant harvested at an average of nine times per year. Each green harvest produced an average of 15 tons/ha. No fertilizers used in this crop. However, alfalfa is irrigated with about 1000 m³/ha after planting, then 600 m³/ha every seven days.

2.2 Extension Observation

In 2004 field observations were conducted in cooperation with pioneer farmer field in the region to use herbicides controlling weeds in alfalfa field. 0.2 ha of alfalfa field was sprayed with an active ingredient called *Bromoxynil Octanoate* (28%) and with an active ingredient of *Fluazifop-P-Butyl* (125 gm/L) using twenty liters weed back sprayer separately. The pioneer farmer was trained for the ideal cultural practices of alfalfa production; seeding cultivar (cuf 101) during March of a rate of 60 kg/ha, fertilized with a 300 Kg of Di-Ammonium

Phosphate (DAP)/ha at the planting time, 50 kg of Urea/ha added after germination and after each harvest. Nine green forage harvests expected each year once every twenty one day's except in the winter season. The treated wastewater irrigation is 1000 m³/ha after planting, then 600 m³/ha every seven days. The yield on average reached 25 tons/ha/harvest. The same observations indicated that the herbicide *Bromoxynil Octanoate* (28%) is recommended being used in a rate of 5000 ml/ha before spring planting to control such weeds like London rocket (*Sisymbrium irio* L), common mallow (*Malva sylvestris* L), croton (*Chrozophora tinctoria* L), and amaranthus (*Amaranthus gracilis* Desf). However, *Fluazifop-P-butyl* (125 gm/L) is recommended being used at a rate of 2000 ml/ha to control such weeds after first alfalfa harvesting; wild barley (*Hordeum spontaneum* L), cat's tail (*Lopochloa phleoides*) (Vill.) Rehb, common barnyard grass (*Echinochloa crusgalli* L), and Bermuda grass (*Cynodon dactylon* L). Silverleaf nightshade (*Solanum elaeagnifolium* Cav) was not affected by *Bromoxynil Octanoate* (28 %).

3. Two Regions Questionnaire for Targeted Farmers

The results of the extension programs, field observations, and field visits to two regions were disseminated to growers through a well-colored extension booklet and field visits. A questionnaire in 2016 was conducted in the two regions targeted 22 farmers of alfalfa trainee growers, 11 of each region, to identify the weed types that have been infested their fields since 2010 till 2016, and the total areas of those farmers were 18.6 ha in Wadi Dlayl and was 56.4 ha in Kherbeh Sides.

156

Results and Discussion

The questionnaire conducted in 2016 indicated in the table (1) the scientific names for twelve weed species which were observed by trained farmers in Zarqa River Basin, mainly in two regions of Wadi Dlayl and Kherbeh Sides. It was observed that the five weed species of (*Sisymbrium irio* L), (*Malva sylvestris* L), (*Hordeum spontaneum* L), (*Lopochloa phleoides* (Vill.) Rehb), and (*Echinochloa crusgalli* L) were classified as winter annuals (A,W), while the two types of (*Chrozophora tinctoria* L), and (*Amaranthus gracilis* Desf), were classified as summer annuals (A,S). Perennials observed were (*Cynodon dactylon* L), (*Cardaria draba* L), (*Peganum harmala* L), and (*Solanum elaeagnifolium* Cav). The only annual parasitic weed was (*Cuscuta* spp L).

166

167

168

Table 19: Summary of weed species: Scientific names and ecological classification observed in perennial alfalfa (*Medicago sativa* L) fields in Zarqa River Basin (ZRB) survey two locations.¹

No.	Weed species	Ecological Classification ²
1	<i>Sysymbrium irio</i> L.	A, W
2	<i>Malva sylvestris</i> L.	A, W
3	<i>Hordeum spontaneum</i> L.	A, W
4	<i>Lopochloa phleoides</i> (Vill.) Rchb.	A, W
5	<i>Chrozophora tinctoria</i> L.	A, S
6	<i>Amaranthus gracilis</i> Desf	A, S
7	<i>Echinochloa crusgalli</i> L.	A, W
8	<i>Cynodon dactylon</i> L.	P
9	<i>Cardaria draba</i> L.	P
10	<i>Peganum harmala</i> L.	P
11	<i>Solanum elaeagnifolium</i> Cav.	P
12	<i>Cuscuta</i> spp L.	A

¹ Summary consisted of 2 survey sites of 22 alfalfa fields representing 75 ha.

² P=Perennial, B=Biennial, A=Annual, S=Summer, W=Winter.

174

Table 5(2) showed the frequency, which is the number of sites where specific weed type recorded. The total % is the frequency divided by the total number of sites in two regions. On the other hand, the total % in table (3) indicated the frequency of the area measured in (ha) divided by the total area of all fields (75 ha) of both regions.

179

Table 20: Summary of weed species and their frequency observed in 22 perennial alfalfa (*Medicago sativa* L) fields in Wadi Dlayl and Kherbeh sides.¹

No.	Weed species	Frequency ²	% Total ³
1	<i>Sysymbrium irio</i> L.	3	13.6 %
2	<i>Malva sylvestris</i> L.	5	22.7 %
3	<i>Hordeum spontaneum</i> L.	1	4.5 %
4	<i>Lopochloa phleoides</i> (Vill.) Rchb.	2	9 %
5	<i>Chrozophora tinctoria</i> L.	3	13.6 %
6	<i>Amaranthus gracilis</i> Desf	6	27 %

7	<i>Echinochloa crusgalli</i> L.	8	36 %
8	<i>Cynodon dactylon</i> L.	7	31.8 %
9	<i>Cardaria draba</i> L.	9	40.9 %
10	<i>Peganum harmala</i> L.	4	18 %
11	<i>Solanum elaeagnifolium</i> Cav.	1	4.5 %
12	<i>Cuscuta</i> spp L.	8	36 %

¹ Summary consisted of 2 survey sites within 22 alfalfa fields representing 75 ha.

² Frequency is the number of sites where weed species were observed.

³ The percent is based on a total of 22 survey fields.

185

Table 63: Summary of weed species and their frequency observed in 22 perennial alfalfa (*Medicago sativa* L) fields in Wadi Dlayl and Kherbeh sides.¹

No.	Weed species	Frequency ²	% Total ³
1	<i>Sysymbrium irio</i> L.	16.5	22 %
2	<i>Malva sylvestris</i> L.	17.5	23.3 %
3	<i>Hordeum spontaneum</i> L.	4	5.3 %
4	<i>Lopochloa phleoides</i> (Vill.) Rechb.	2.3	3.1 %
5	<i>Chrozophora tinctoria</i> L.	11.5	15.3 %
6	<i>Amaranthus gracilis</i> Desf	34.6	46 %
7	<i>Echinochloa crusgalli</i> L.	15.2	20.3 %
8	<i>Cynodon dactylon</i> L.	13.8	18.4 %
9	<i>Cardaria draba</i> L.	15.8	21.1 %
10	<i>Peganum harmala</i> L.	7.5	10 %
11	<i>Solanum elaeagnifolium</i> Cav.	1	1.33 %
12	<i>Cuscuta</i> spp L.	14.8	19.7 %

¹ Summary consisted of 2 survey sites within 22 alfalfa fields representing 75 ha.

² Frequency is the area of sites (ha) where weed species were observed.

³ The percent is based on a total of 22 survey fields' area.

191

It can be stated from table (4) that the most widely distributed weed in Wadi Dlayl was (*Cardaria draba* L) of 15.8 ha with a 6.8% of increase than the second highest frequent weed type (*Cuscuta* spp L), which was observed in about 14.8 ha. However, (*Amaranthus gracilis*

Desf. represented the highest frequent weed in Kherbeh Sides of about 34.6 ha with a 97.7% increase than (*Malva sylvestris* L) which was observed in 17.5 ha in Kherbeh Sides (Table 5).

197

Table 10: Comparison between the highest frequency weed species within the same location of Wadi Dlayl.¹

Highest Weed Freq	Freq (ha)	Freq (No.)
<i>Cardaria draba</i> L.	15.8	9
<i>Cuscuta</i> spp L.	14.8	8
% of Increase	6.8 %	12.5 %

¹ Wadi Dlayl producing perennial alfalfa within Zarqa River Basin (ZRB).

201

Table 11: Comparison between the highest frequency weed species within the same location of Kherbeh sides.¹

Highest Weed Freq	Freq (ha)	Freq (No.)
<i>Amaranthus gracilis</i> Desf	34.6	6
<i>Malva sylvestris</i> L.	17.5	5
% of Increase	97.7 %	20 %

¹ Kherbeh sides producing perennial alfalfa within Zarqa River Basin (ZRB).

205

It was observed that the weed type of (*Echinochloa crusgalli* L), was the only type which was observed in both two regions (Table 6). [7] Indicated that (*Echinochloa crusgalli* L) could be found in alfalfa fields and compete for nutrients. Both regions of this research have available nutrients in soils and water. Wadi Dlayl soils are rich in nutrients due to cow manure used, and Kherbeh Sides soil is accumulated with salts come with treated wastewater.

211

Table 12: Weeds species which was found among two regions within Zarqa River Basin (ZRB).¹

ZRB	Survey Area (ha)	Weed Freq	Freq (ha)	Freq (No.)
Wadi Dlayl	18.6	<i>Echinochloa crusgalli</i> L.	6.3	3
Kherbeh sides	56.4	<i>Echinochloa crusgalli</i> L.	8.9	5
Total	75	% of Decrease	29.2 %	40 %

¹ Wadi Dlayl and Kherbeh sides are the two major locations producing perennial alfalfa within Zarqa River Basin (ZRB).

The most observed three types of weeds in Wadi Dlayl were (*Cardaria draba* L, *Cuscuta* spp L, and *Echinochloa crusgalli* L). The wide distribution of perennials in Wadi Dlayl may be attributed to its ability to live for more than two years, as well as it may live almost indefinitely. Those weeds can reproduce by seed and many are able to spread by vegetative growth. Wadi Dlayl suffered from ground water over pumping, salinity, long irrigation periods, and little irrigation amounts. This is the main reason to consider Wadi Dlayl as a harsh climatic, environmental area in comparison to Kherbeh Sides. [2] Reported that although (*Cuscuta* spp L) is an annual parasitic plant, but its seeds survived in soils for many years and this plant development depends on sunlight to thrive. While, the other area, Kherbeh Sides, has a water sources effluent daily, this may promote the growing of annuals which were completed their life cycle in less than one year. Similar description of annuals and perennials illustrated by [1].

Weed control plans typically consist of many methods which are divided into biological, chemical, cultural, and physical (mechanical) control. Physical methods include using cover crops, manual weeding, tillage, flame, soil steam sterilization and soil solarization. Cultural methods mainly as crop rotation used by rotating crops with ones that kill weeds by choking them out. It is a way to avoid the use of herbicides, and to gain the benefits of crop rotation. A biological weed control regiment can consist of biological control agents, bioherbicides, use of grazing animals, and protection of natural predators. However, the weed control can also be achieved by the use of herbicides. Selective herbicides kill certain targets while leaving the desired crop relatively unharmed. Some of these act by interfering with the growth of the weed and are often based on plant hormones. Herbicides are generally a fast and efficient method in terms of results and time saving.

Thus extension activities must be directed towards innovation dissemination based on knowledge, research, and development [9]. Training can promote farmers to become as pioneer farmers with knowledge about weed ecology, and growing habits to choose the most appropriate chemical management with lower costs and saving time.

243

244

References

245 Ashton FM, Monaco TJ, Barrett M. Weed science: principles and practices. 3rd ed.
246 NewJesrey: A Wiley-Interscience; 1991.

247

248 Canevari WM, Vargas RN, Orloff SB. Weed management in alfalfa. In: Summers CG,
 249 Putnam DH, editors. Irrigated alfalfa management for Mediterranean and desert zones.
 250 California: University of California; 2008.

251

252 Daliparthi J, Herbert SJ, Moffitt LJ, Veneman PLM. Herbage production, weed
 253 occurrence, and economic risk from dairy manure applications to alfalfa. Journal of
 254 Production Agriculture. 1995; 8: 495-501.

255

256 Department of Statistics. Agricultural census. Livestock and poultry. 2007. Accessed
 257 March 11 2016. Available:
 258 http://www.dos.gov.jo/dos_home_e/main/agriculture/census/index.htm.

259

260 Eardly BD, Hannaway DB, Bottomley PJ. Nitrogen nutrition and yield of seedling
 261 alfalfa as affected by ammonium nitrate fertilization. Agronomy Journal. 1984; 77:
 262 57-62.

263

264 Kelling KA, Schmitt MA. Applying manure to alfalfa: pros, cons and
 265 recommendations for three application strategies. Extension Bulletin. University of
 266 Wisconsin, Madison, WI; 2003.

267

268 Kugler J, Woodward WT. Crop profile for alfalfa in Washington. Washington State
 269 University. 2006. Accessed March 11 2016. Available:
 270 <http://www.ipmcenters.org/cropprofiles/docs/WAalfalfa.pdf>.

271

272 Kunelius HT. Effects of weed control and N fertilization at establishment on the
 273 growth and nodulation of alfalfa. Agronomy Journal. 1974; 66: 806-808.

274

275 Massimi M, Al-Rifae M, Alrusheidat J, Al-Dakheel A, Al-Qawaleet B, Haddad Sh.
 276 Validating farmers' adoption for salt-tolerated crop seeds in Jordan. Asian Journal of
 277 Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology. 2016; 10(2): 1-5.

278

279 Massimi M, Al-Rifae M, Alrusheidat J, Al-Dakheel A, Isamil F, Al-Shgar Y. Salt-
 280 tolerant Triticale (X *Triticosecale* Witt) Cultivation in Jordan as a New Forage Crop.
 281 American Journal of Experimental Agriculture. 2016; 12(2): 1-7.

282

283

284 Massimi M, Haseeb, M, Kanga LHB, Legaspi JC. Enhancement of Silage Sorghum
285 and Corn Production Using Best Management Practices. Association of 1890
286 Research Directors Symposium, Georgia, Atlanta – USA. 2017; 668.

287

288 Putnam D, Ruselle M, Orloff S, Kuhn J, Fitzhugh L, Godfrey L, Kiess A, Long R.
289 Alfalfa, wildlife and the environment. California: California Alfalfa and Forage
290 Association; 2001.

291

292 Schmitt MA, Sheaffer CC. Utilization of liquid manure in alfalfa production. Cited in
293 21st National Alfalfa Symposium, Rochester, MN; 1991.

294

295 Takabayashi M, Kubota T. Abe H. Dissemination of weed seeds through cow feces.
296 Japan Agricultural Research Quarterly. 1979; 13:204-207.

297

298 Undersander D, Becker R, Cosgrove D, Cullen E, Doll J, Grau C, Kelling K, Rice
299 ME, Schmitt M, Sheaffer C, Shewmaker G, Sulc M. Alfalfa management guide. USA:
300 American Society of Agronomy, Inc. Crop Science Society of America, Inc. Soil
301 Science Society of America, Inc; 2004.

302

303