



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_37966
Title of the Manuscript:	Factors affecting awareness level of farmers about crop insurance: A case study of Haryana
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Include data on respondents and/or percentage in the results to give the basis of the tabulated results Check grammar in line, 40, 43, 51,52, 54, 57, 59, 70, 71, 78, 79,	
Minor REVISION comments	Consider putting methodology in abstract more so conclusion and recommendation Use of etc in line 15, Clarify sentence in line 19 and 25 which seems contradictory Line 80 simple statistical tools, ...what are they? Research methodology lacks total population, sampling technique and analysis technique Line 90 results seems not too many as implied in the abstract in line 12 Line 86.... Use of sampled data may need change Line 94.... Tense? Line 107..was the author alone? what about respondents for example?	
Optional/General comments	Paper have good information	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Javan Ngeywo
Department, University & Country	Kenya