



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_36873
Title of the Manuscript:	Farm and Non-farm Income Diversification in Selected Areas of Sunamganj District of Bangladesh
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Within the abstract, the authors describe the methods and procedures used to obtain their results. They also present the results obtained in the abstract. Within the abstract, I can recommend to the authors of the manuscript to define clearly the objectives of their research and to emphasize their own contribution to the problem solved. The references concerned the literature and sources used are rather weak, they contain only 16 items, which is not relatively much for this long manuscript. The same applies to the search of the current state of knowledge in the introductory chapter. Within the introductory chapter, the authors describe their motivation and they justify the need for exploration in the area. In the introductory chapter, clearly defined objectives of the study, including the methods and procedures leading to their achievement, would be exactly formulated. The contribution of the authors to the problem solved, i.e. the characteristic of what is original in the manuscript would be emphasized in the conclusion summary. The evaluation of the usability of the results obtained and other topics for research in the area can be a part of the conclusion chapter, too.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Diana Bílková
Department, University & Country	Department of Statistics and Probability, University of Economics, Czech Republic