



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_36324
Title of the Manuscript:	Economic Analysis of Maize Production and Marketing in Khammam District, Telangana
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	1. The abstract is too lengthy. Precisely should be between 250-300 words 2. Key words are too many. Precisely five key words 3. Line 863: the sentence should be recast 4. Literatures cited by the author are too old. The author should back his/her study with recent literatures 5. The author should try as much as possible to compare the results of the research with other studies done in similar field of study. This will enable readers and other researchers to appreciate the beauty of this study. 6. Conclusion phase of the study is missing. The author should write a brief conclusion for the research.	
Optional/General comments	The results is well written and explicit	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Coster Adeleke Sabitu
Department, University & Country	Dept. of Agricultural Education, Tai Solarin College of Education, Nigeria