



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_34873
Title of the Manuscript:	PROFITABILITY OF GINGER FARMING TO SMALLHOLDER GROWERS IN TANZANIA - CASE OF SAME DISTRICT
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. Check the abstract to effect the correction as highlighted 2. Introduction and literature review should be reduced, if possible merged so that the two will not be more than 3 pages instead of about 7 pages occupied. 3. Check methodology for appropriate correction 4. Remove anything information not result or statement for discussing the result from "Presentation and discussion of findings" 5. Text should come before the figures in result presentation 6. Please corroborate the results with relevant past studies of your own or other researchers. 7. The whole report of the study is too long for journal like this. I suggest the whole presentation be reduced to a sizable length. You may wish to check published papers in this journal for a guide.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Michael Adejare Adegboye
Department, University & Country	Federal Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Development, Jos, Nigeria