

Original Research Article

**Effect of Front Line Demonstration Trial of Chickpea Cv. RSG-888 on Farmers' Field
in Rainfed Condition of Rajasthan, India**

ABSTRACT

In the present study performance of chickpea variety (RSG-888) against local check was evaluated through front line demonstrations conducted at farmer's field during *rab* season of 2013, 2014 and 2015. A total of 56 demonstrations were laid on 9.0 hectare in 20 villages across 5 blocks of Palidistrict. Sowing was done using residual soil moisture of dry condition from 10 October to 05 November every year. Package of practices as developed for the region were strictly followed. Recommended seed rate i.e. 70 kg ha⁻¹ against existing farmers practices of using 100 kg ha⁻¹ (local check) was broadcasted and nutrients i.e. N, P, and S in the ratio of 20:30:40 kg ha⁻¹ were applied. The variety performed much better compared to local check, and an average grain yield of 16.7 q/ha was recorded which was 67.00% more than the local check. Straw yield also recorded an increase of 44.20% over local check. In **inspite** of increase in yield both in grain and straw, technological and extension gaps existed which was 7.2 and 5.3 q/ha, respectively. The extension gap can be bridged by popularizing package of practices where in stress need to be laid on use of proper seed rate and balanced nutrient application. Economics of growing released variety of chickpea RSG-888 recorded a net income of Rs. 39208/, per hectare which is 83.30 % more compared to net income from local check.

Keywords: Chickpea, arid region, yield, yield gaps, economics and FLD

INTRODUCTION

Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum*, L.) is the premier pulse crop of India subcontinent. India is the largest chickpea producer as well as consumer in the world. India grows chickpea on

Comment [U1]: Trial or Demonstration?

Comment [U2]: ha

Comment [U3]: Five not 5

Comment [U4]: Plagiarism suspected. URL is given in reviewer comments.

Comment [U5]: Plagiarism suspected. URL <http://www.seea.org.in/vol12-2-2012/11.pdf>

Comment [U6]: Arrange alphabetically.

about 8.56 million ha are producing 7.35 million tons which represents 30% and 38% of the national pulse acreage and production, respectively. Chickpea production has gone up from 3.65 to 7.35 million tons between 1950-51 and 2015-16, registering a growth of 0.58% annually (DOA, 2016). During the period, area has marginally declined from 7.57 to 6.67 million hectare and the productivity has steadily increased to 844 kg/ha from 482 kg/ha. Notwithstanding its distribution throughout the country, six states viz., Madhya Pradesh, Rajasthan, Maharashtra, Uttar Pradesh, Karnataka and Andhra Pradesh together contribute 91% of the production and 90% of the area of the country. There has been a major shift in the area of chickpea in the country. The expansion of irrigation facilities in northern India has led to replacement of chickpea with wheat and mustard in larger areas. As a result, the chickpea area reduced from 3.2 m ha to 1.0 m ha in northern states. The medicinal value of chickpea is worth mentioning here also the leaves and seeds of the chickpea due to the presence of glandular secretions are commonly used as medicine. This plant holds a good reputation in 'Ayurvedic' and 'Unani' system of medicine, and according to ayurvedic method of treatment, chickpea leaves are sour, astringent to bowels, and improve taste and appetite. Moreover the leaves are used to cure chronic bronchitis and the seeds are considered as antibilious, used as tonic, stimulant and aphrodisiac acid is also supposed to lower the blood cholesterol level (Duke, 1981). Chickpea has also the property to act as hypocholesteremic agent; germinating chickpea is believed to reduce the blood cholesterol level.

Chickpea is grown in many tropical, sub-tropical and temperate regions of the world and one of the most important pulse crops of India due to its multiple functions in the traditional farming system (Sexena and Singh, 1987). Besides helping in the management of soil fertility, particularly in the drylands, it is an important source of human food and animal feed (Ali and Kumar 2009). There are two types of gram, one is the 'Kabuli' white

Comment [U7]: Plagiarism suspected. URL http://www.aicrpchickpea.res.in/pdf_files/chickpea_profile.pdf

Comment [U8]: Plagiarism suspected. URL <http://oar.icrisat.org/5066/>

Comment [U9]: Plagiarism suspected. URL http://www.aicrpchickpea.res.in/pdf_files/chickpea_profile.pdf

Comment [U10]: Plagiarism suspected. URL <http://www.iiprbs.com/issuedocs/2014/8/IJPRBS%20756.pdf>

and other 'deshi' brown. Kabuli type is grown in temperate regions while the 'deshi' type chickpea is grown in the arid and semi-arid tropics (Malhotra *et al.*, 1987).

Pali district is located between 24.45 to 26.75 degree N latitude and 72.48 to 74.20 degree E longitude at an altitude ranging between 212 m to about 220 m above mean sea level with a total geographical area of 12,387 square kilometers. In Pali district chickpea traditionally grown as a rabi crop. Arid region is considered to be the pulse bowls of Rajasthan as it share about 55% area and 40% of total pulse production of state. The average pulses productivity in the arid region was low (520 kg/ha) against 725 kg/ha as the state average. The regions are biotic, abiotic, and socio-economic constraints causing low productivity in pulses in this region. In addition, lack of improved varieties is reported as most serious constraints among all biophysical constraints in pulses production (Burman *et al.* 2006). Chickpea is most preferred pulse crop in the arid region and is consumed by people of all ranks mainly in the form of green leaves, green seed for vegetables, *sattu*, flour, roasted grain as well as for making local beverage known as Chhang (Mir and Mir, 2000). Unfortunately use of local varieties and poor nutrient management results in very low yield. Keeping this in view chickpea variety cv. RSG-888 with a potential grain yield of 16.70 and straw yield of 20.5 q/ha (Sharma, 2002) was used under front line demonstrations so as to encourage farmer to adopt high yielding variety.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

In the present study performance of chickpea variety, RSG-888 against local check was evaluated through front line demonstrations conducted at farmer's field during rabi season of 2014, 2015 and 2016. A total of 56 demonstrations were laid on 9 hectare area in 10 adopted villages across 6 blocks (Sumerpur, Raipur, Jaitaran, Sojat, Rohat and Banli) of Pali district. Soils of the study area are mostly sandy loam in texture with low nitrogen, medium phosphorus and high available potassium besides being slightly saline in nature. During the crop growing season minimum and maximum temperature extremes ranged

Comment [U11]: Plagiarism suspected. URL <http://arccjournals.com/uploads/articles/18662670B2747.pdf>

Comment [U12]: Plagiarism suspected. URL http://www.isprd.in/pdf/december_final_8feb13.pdf

between 15.9 °C to 25.7 °C and 33.80 °C to 36.57 °C, respectively. The region does not experience precipitation during the crop period. High-velocity winds and long photoperiods are the other characteristics features of the area. Sowing was done using residual soil moisture of dry condition from 15 October to 5 November every year. Package of practices as developed for the region were strictly followed. Recommended seed rate i.e. 70 kg /ha against existing farmers practice of using 100 kg/ha (local check) was **broad casted** and nutrients i.e. N.P.S in the ratio of 20: 30: 40 kg /ha applied through DAP, MOP and urea. Total amount of P and S and half of N was applied as basal dose and the remaining 50% of N was top dressed in two equal splits at 30 and 45 days after sowing. **In local check farmers no apply of any fertilizers in chickpea crop.** Due to climatic conditions, no pest infestation was observed over the year. Before harvesting final plant height (cm) was recorded. At harvesting five random samples of one meter square area from each demonstration field were harvested and **composite** sample was weighed for total biological yield. After weighing grains were separated by beating ear heads and cleaned grains were weighed for grain yield. Harvest yield index, technological gap, extension gap and technology index were calculated using following equations (Samui, *etal.* 2000).

$$\text{Harvest index (\%)} = \frac{\text{Grain yield}}{\text{Biological yield}} \times 100$$

$$\text{Technology gap} = \text{Potential yield} - \text{Demonstration yield}$$

$$\text{Extension gap} = \text{Demonstration yield} - \text{Farmer's yield}$$

$$\text{Technology index (\%)} = \frac{\text{Technology gap}}{\text{Potential yield}} \times 100$$

Results and discussion

Performance of chickpea variety RSG-888 during different years from 2014 to 2016 in different blocks of arid region is depicted in Table 1. From the results of 56 front line demonstrations, it is clear that plant height recorded an average of 40.9 cm with maximum 41.6 cm recorded in Raipur and minimum of 40.7 cm in Jaitaran block. Straw yield recorded an average of 19.9 q/ha against an average of 13.8 q/ha in local check, thereby recording an increase of 44.20% over local check. In different blocks yield of straw recorded a range of 17.7 to 21.3 q/ha, respectively. Grain yield in RSG-888 recorded an average of 16.7 q/ha against a potential yield of 24.0 q/ha. Local check recorded an average yield of only 10.0 q/ha. Yield varied in different blocks with maximum being recorded in Sojat (18.0 q/ha). There was a difference between harvest index (%) of RSG-888 and local check were recording a harvest index of ranges from 44.5 to 47.3 in demonstrated and 40.9 to 44.4 in local check (Table 1) clearly demonstrates the superiority of RSG-888 over local check, respectively.

Data pertaining to total grain yield, yield gaps, technological gap, extension gap and technology index (%) is presented in Table 2. Demonstration yield was recorded maximum in Raipur block (18.0 q/ha) whereas on an average demonstration yield in arid region was 16.7 q/ha increase of 67.00 % over local check, where in the grain yield harvested was only 10.0 q/ha. Technological gap, which is the difference between potential and demonstration yield was maximum in Rohat block (8.4 q/ha) and lowest in Sojat block (5.2 q/ha), respectively. The findings confirm with the findings of Nawale, *et al.* (2009) and Purushottam, *et al.* (2012).

However, overall average technological gap in the region was 7.2 q/ha. Similarly, huge extension gap of 5.3 q/ha was recorded in the region with maximum extension gap

recorded in Jaitaran and Sojat blocks (6.4 and 6.3 q/ha). Extension gap indicates that there is a tremendous scope of extension activities in the region. Mass awareness through print electronic media is the need of the hour. Package of practices for the chickpea crop as devised need to be followed strictly particularly seed rate, optimum application of nutrients and other management practices. The recommended packages of practices will definitely increase the yield and subsequently reduce the extension gap. Technology index shows the feasibility of evolved technology at the farmer's field and lower the value of technology more is the feasibility of the technology (Jeengar *et al.* 2006). Technology index in the present case varied between 20.00 to 32.31% and average 27.50% over six blocks of arid region. Table 3 gives the economics of growing RSG-888 in the region. The data clearly indicates the advantage of growing released variety over local check. The findings confirm with the findings of Jeengar, *et al.* (2006), Samui, *et al.* (2000), Kumawat (2008), Ahsiwal and Hussain (2008), Tomer *et al.* (2009), Lakhera and Sharma (2002), Meena and Singh (2011), Sagar and Chandra (2004), Sharma and Sharma (2008) and Yadav, *et al.* (2007).

Comment [U13]: Plagiarism suspected. URL http://ijeab.com/upload_document/issue_files/28%20IJEAB-NOV-2016-46-Effect%20of%...

Comment [U14]: Results of previous researches should be compared with present findings.

Since grain yield as well as straw yield is more in the variety used under front line demonstrations, therefore naturally income generated is also more. Total gross income from both grain and straw is Rs. 42125/- hectare as against only Rs. 27500/- in the local check. Net income obtained under FLD was Rs. 39208/- which was 83.30% more than the local check, where the net income was only Rs. 21390/- per hectare, respectively. The findings confirm with the findings of Chandra, *et al.* (2012), Chaudhary, *et al.* (2010), Singh and Singh (2009), Padmaian and Venkatakumar (2009), Rai, *et al.* (2012).

Comment [U15]: Table no. is not mention.

Comment [U16]: Results of previous researches should be compared with present findings.

CONCLUSION

It may be concluded that the drought tolerance released varieties of chickpea RSG-888 performed better with an average grain yield of 16.7 q/ha that was 67.00% more than the

local variety. Technological and extension gap existed which can be bridged by popularizing package of practices with emphasis on use of proper seed rate and balanced nutrient application. Replacement of local variety with the released variety would increase the production and net income of by more than fifty thousand rupees.

Comment [U17]: Plagiarism suspected URL
http://ijeab.com/upload_document/issue_files/28%20IJEAB-NOV-2016-46-Effect%20of%...

REFERENCES

Comment [U18]: Follow journal's rule

Ali, M and Kumar, S. Major technological advances in pulses: Indian scenario (eds), Indian Institute of Pulses Research, Kanpur, India. 2009: Pp1.

DOA. Vital Agricultural Statistics, Government of Rajasthan, Pant Bhawan, Jaipur 2015; pp 23-45.

Anonymous. Vision 2030, Indian Institute of pulses Research, Kanpur. 2015; Pp iii-vii.

Ashiwal BL, Hussain A. Demonstration- An effective technology for increasing the productivity of gram. Rajasthan Journal of Extension Education, 2008; 16:221-223.

Burman RR, Singh SK, Singh L, Singh AK. Adoption of improved pulses production technologies and related constraints in Uttar Pradesh, Indian Journal of Pulses Research, 2006; 19:104-106.

Chandra S, Singh P, Lakhera JP. Factors associated with economic motivation of legume growers in desert area of Rajasthan. Journal of Food Legumes 2012; 25 (4):326-329.

Choudhary AK, Singh A, Yadav DS. On Farm Testing of wheat cultivars for site-specific assessment under varied bio-physical regimes in mid-hill conditions of Mandi district of Himachal Pradesh. Journal of Community Mobilization and Sustainable Development, 2010; 5(1):001-006.

Duke JA. Handbook of legumes of world, Plenum Economic Importance Press, 1981; NY: 345pp.

Jeengar KL, Panwar P, Pareek OP. Front Line Demonstration on Maize in Bhilwara district of Rajasthan. Current Agriculture, 2006; 30 (1&2):115-116.

Kumawat SR. Impact of frontline demonstration on adoption of improved castor production technology. Rajasthan Journal of Extension Education, 2008; 16:143-147.

Lakhera JP, Sharma BM. Impact of frontline demonstration on adoption of improved moth bean production technology. Rajasthan Journal of Extension Education, 2002; 14:43-47.

Malhotra RS, Pundir, PS, Slinkard, AE. Genetic resources of chickpea 1987; Pp:67-81.

Meena ML, Singh D. Impact of front line demonstrations on yield of cumin in arid zone of Rajasthan. *International J. Seed Spices* 2011; (1):77-80.

Mir MS, Mir AA. Ethnic foods of Ladakh. In dynamics of cold arid agriculture (Sharma, J.P. and Mir, A.A., Eds). Kalyani Publishers, Ludhiana, India 2000: 297-306.

Narwale SS, Pawar AD, Lambade BM, Ugle NS. Yield maximization of chickpea through INM applied to sorghum-chickpea cropping sequence under irrigated condition. Legumes Research, 2009; 32 (4):282-285.

Padmaiah M, Venkattakumar R. Yield gap analysis and prioritization of castor production technology. Indian Journal of Extension Education, 2009; 45(1&2):62-66.

Purushottam Singh SK, Chaudhary RN, Kumar K, Praharaj CS, Krishana B. Assessment of technological inputs for major pulses in Bundelkhan region. Journal of Food Legumes, 2012; 25(1):61-65.

Rai HK, Mughal AH, Sharma VK, Singhal SK and Pandey M. Front Line Demonstration trial of Barley

- Cv. Nurboo on farmers' field in cold arid Kargil region of J&K. Indian Research Journal of Extension Education, 2012; 12(2):55-58.
- Sagar, R.L., Chandru G.). Evaluation of Front Line Demonstration on Mustard in Sunderban, West Bengal. Indian Journal of Extension Education, 2004; 40(3&4):96-97.
- Samui SK, Maitra S, Roy Mondal, Singh, A.K. and Saha D. Evaluation of Front Line Demonstration on groundnut. Journal of Indian Society of Coastal Agriculture Research, 2000; 18 (2):180-183.
- Saxena MC, Singh KB. The chickpea. ICARDA, CABI, Wallingford, (ed), UK; 1987.
- Sharma BL, Sharma RN. Cowpea production technology-Adoption and impact in Sikar district of Rajasthan. Rajasthan Journal of Extension Education, 2008; 16:179-183.
- Sharma JP. Crop production technology for arid region. Kalyani Publishers, Ludhiana, Punjab, 2002.
- Singh A, Singh A.K.). Yield advantages in pulses at farmers' field. Journal of Food Legumes 2009; 22:198-201.
- Singh D, Meena ML, and Chaudhary MK. Boosting seed spices production technology through front line demonstrations. International J. Seed Spices 2011; 1 (1):81-84.
- Tomar RKS, Sahu BL, Singh AK, Prajapati RK. (2009). Productivity enhancement of blackgram through improved production technologies in farmers' field. Journal of Food Legumes, 2009; 22:202-204.
- Yadav VPS, Kuma R, Vashishtha AK, Bhela SL. Boosting the pulse production technology through frontline demonstrations. Indian Research Journal of Extension Education, 2007; 7 (2&3):12-15.

Table 1 Comparative study of chickpea variety RSG-888 and local check under front line demonstration in arid condition of Rajasthan

Blocks of the district/evaluation parameters	Years	Sumerpur	Raipur	Jaitaran	Sojat	Rohat	Banli	Total/mean
No of demonstration								
	2013	3	4	4	3	2	2	18
	2014	4	2	3	3	4	4	20
	2015	3	3	4	3	3	2	18
	Total	10	9	11	9	9	8	56
Total area (ha)		1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	09
Plant height (in cm)								
	2013	40.1	42.4	39.0	40.0	41.7	42.1	40.9
	2014	42.4	40.5	40.8	41.3	40.7	40.2	41.1
	2015	39.8	41.8	42.3	39.0	39.9	41.1	40.7

	Mean	40.8	41.6	40.7	40.1	40.8	41.3	40.9
	Local check	35.6	36.9	33.6	36.2	35.7	37.2	35.9
Straw yield(q/ha)								
	2013	19.3	21.1	17.6	20.8	21.9	22.1	20.5
	2014	18.4	22.0	16.6	21.7	19.3	19.7	19.6
	2015	19.0	19.7	18.9	19.6	18.1	22.0	19.5
	Mean	18.9	20.9	17.7	20.7	19.8	21.3	19.9
	Local check	14.7	13.9	13.3	12.9	13.6	14.5	13.8
Grain yield(q/ha)								
	2013	16.3	17.9	15.7	16.5	17.7	17.9	17.0
	2014	15.5	18.7	14.8	17.8	15.7	16.0	16.4
	2015	16.9	17.5	16.8	15.6	14.5	18.0	16.6
	Mean	16.2	18.0	15.8	16.6	16.0	17.1	16.7
	Local check	10.4	09.9	09.4	08.9	10.1	11.6	10.0
Harvest index (%)								
	2013	45.8	45.9	47.1	44.2	44.7	44.8	45.4

	2014	45.7	46.0	47.7	45.1	44.9	45.3	45.8
	2015	47.1	47.0	47.0	44.3	45.7	46.2	46.2
	Mean	46.2	46.3	47.3	44.5	45.1	45.4	45.8
	Local check	40.9	41.6	41.4	40.7	42.6	44.4	41.9

Table 2 Yield, yield gaps and technology index of chickpea variety RSG-888

Name of the blocks	Potential grain yield(q/ha)	Demonstration yield(q/ha)	Local check yield (q/ha)	% increase over local check	Technological gap (q/ha)	Extension gap (q/ha)	Technology index (%)
Sumerpur	24.0	16.2	10.4	28.77	7.3	4.2	28.08
Raipur	24.0	18.0	09.9	28.99	8.2	4.0	31.54
Jaitaran	24.0	15.8	09.4	49.61	6.7	6.4	25.77
Sojat	24.0	16.6	08.9	43.45	5.2	6.3	20.00
Rohat	24.0	16.0	10.1	46.67	8.4	5.6	32.31
Banli	24.0	17.1	11.6	36.96	7.1	5.1	27.30
Mean	24.0	16.7	10.0	39.08	7.2	5.3	27.50

Table 3 Economic analysis of chickpea variety RSG-888 in arid region:

Name of blocks	Cost of cultivation (Rs./ha)				Gross income (Rs./ha)			Net income (Rs./ha)
	Seed	Fertilizers	Labour	Total	Straw	Grian	Total	
Sumerpur	4200	1200	1000	6400	3500	40500	44000	37600
Raipur	4200	1200	1000	6400	3400	45000	48400	42000

Jaitaran	4200	1200	1000	6400	3300	39500	42800	39500
Sojat	4200	1200	1000	6400	3800	41500	45300	38900
Rohat	4200	1200	1000	6400	3700	40000	43700	37300
Banli	4200	1200	1000	6400	3600	42750	46350	39950
Mean	4200	1200	1000	6400	3550	41542	42125	39208
Local check	4400	760	1000	6160	2350	25200	27500	21390