



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_33863
Title of the Manuscript:	Improving Nitrogen Use Efficiency of Urea by using Urease and Nitrification Inhibitors and Plant Growth Regulators under Spinach Crop
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. You did not have N upake data and N yields data...plus the computation of NUE indices/measures like partial factor productivity (PFP) or recovery efficiency (RE)...I suggest that you retitle as "effect of combine application of urea, urease, nitrification inhibitors and plant growth regulators on spinach productivity" 2. Include soil fertility data 3. Under results and discussions i) Rephrase incoherent sentences Delete irrelevant literature and replace with relevant literature and where indicated relocate some text to the introduction section	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1. Attend to suggestions on grammar and completion of some hanging sentences 2. Under materials and methods complete treatments 4 and 7	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Austin Phiri
Department, University & Country	Bvumbwe Agricultural Research Station, Malawi