



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	<u>Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_32815
Title of the Manuscript:	Performance Analysis of the Bank of Agriculture in Katsina State, Nigeria
Type of Article:	Original Research Papers

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
The latest version of the paper "Performance Review of the Agricultural Bank in Katsina State, Nigeria" improved, but not enough to accept it. And I keep the following comments: To establish a significant contribution to the literature the paper needs substantial improvement concerning research design, empirical analysis and theory discussion. The introduction should synthesize the whole paper. Crucially, it should discuss the contribution of the paper. What does the paper propose that has not been proposed before? How original is it? How does it contribute to the Nigerian/international academic literature of the field? In other words, how does this research fit into the existing literature? What new insights can we get from it? The authors should be more cautious when interpreting their results, because there results and analysis is too simple to permit some interpretation.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Flávio Leonel de Carvalho</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Management Department, Federal University of São Carlos, Brazil</i>