



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_32452
Title of the Manuscript:	Selection of Appropriate Growth Model for Projections of Cotton Area, Production and Productivity of Tamil Nadu, India
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Showed on article PLAGIARISM ISSUE: The plagiarism shoed on article as this color, on the other hand you can find the sentences which showed plagiarism.	
Minor REVISION comments	The revision notes showed on article.	
Optional/General comments	The article is not looking as original research article, Because the all observation were done on statistic data, there is no any research result. It is looking as Compilation article.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Enver Kendal
Department, University & Country	Kiziltepe Vocational High School, Mardin Artuklu University, Turkey