



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_32322
Title of the Manuscript:	A study on Assessment of Consumer Awareness Amongst Women of Deesa, Gujarat
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Some recommendation to authors: - An in depth/extended literature review on the topic would be appropriate; Are there similar or different scientific studies/researches? - To add more information about the research tool (on what basis were formulated the questions, variables, scale, etc); - To add information about the research hypothesis/questions; - To provide arguments why were selected only women in the sample, as respondents; Is there any real evidence (studies, research, expert opinions) that women "are more vulnerable to be exploited by traders"? - To highlight better the original (usefulness of the research) contribution to the literature. - To specify if there are similar scientific studies and researches that support the conclusions of the study.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Agheorghiesei Daniela-Tatiana
Department, University & Country	Alexandru Ioan Cuza University, Iași, Romania