



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_32091
Title of the Manuscript:	Demand for Agro Processed Food Products: An Evidence from Indian Households
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The abstract of the manuscript contains both, as the authors have obtained the source data and concise conclusions to which they came. I can recommend to the authors to add a brief definition of the study objectives, including the methods and procedures leading to their achievement and contribution of the authors to solving problems. The introduction chapter contains the motivation, the reason for the need for research in this area and state of current knowledge in this area. However, I miss here quite clear defining of the objectives of the paper and methods for their achievement and also the formulation of one or more research hypotheses.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Numbers of formulas would be at the right edge of pages.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Diana Bílková
Department, University & Country	Department of Statistics and Probability, Faculty of Informatics and Statistics, University of Economics, Prague, Czech Republic