



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_31837
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative Economics of Traditional viz High Density Mango Cultivation in Karnataka
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	In the Introduction section; The Author must give some literature information.. Because There are many informations abaout Mango growing, but literature is absent...	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	In the 50. Line; It should be canopy (Anon., 2008). In the 71.line; randomly. Hence (After point, a space must be left). In the same line; 60 were.... Space must be left..	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Paper must be corrected for the punctuations and spaces between the words..	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Levent Son
Department, University & Country	University of Mersin, Turkey