



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_31837
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative Economics of Traditional viz High Density Mango Cultivation in Karnataka
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	I recommend rewriting of the Abstract: to add a brief description of the aim of the study, methods, conclusion (the present formulation is not clear), and possible practical implications. Part of the sentences is formulated too detailed for Abstract. You do not explain this is the state in India. I would include the Sections "Concept of high density ..." and "Advantages" into Introduction. To add Literature Survey, Novelty, and "Aims of the study". Methodology. The methods are not described. You do not cite articles where these methods are used for answering similar research questions. Results and discussion What is mango productivity you express in percent? You can transfer the description of data to the Section Methodology and rename it Materials and Methods. Then to extend "Financial Feasibility". Conclusion You can rename this Section "Discussion and Conclusion". In the part of Discussion add the discussion of the reasons why high density orchards are more financially attractive (additional calculations can be needed). In Conclusion - possible practical implications of your study, recommendations. References The literature survey in Introduction, References in "Methods", in "Discussion" (compare the results with other studies) should be added. The list of references will include about 20 articles.	
Minor REVISION comments	What is taluk? Designation of the currency? HDP? Ac? B:C? (part of readers cannot know this is benefit to cost ratio).	
Optional/General comments	English editing is needed.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Gregory Yom Din
Department, University & Country	Management and Economics, Open University of Israel, Israel