



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_31740
Title of the Manuscript:	Yield gap analysis in adoption of production technology of mango by the farmers at Tumkuru district of Karnataka
Type of Article:	Original Research Article

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
The revised version is quite similar to the original one. You were supposed to incorporate reviewer's comments into the body of your manuscript. You are submitting your paper as a Research Article, not as a divulgation paper. Thus, you are expected to stick to the scientific method and offer details enough to convince the reader that important variables were under control. Your Methodology section needs to be more descriptive and specific. By the same token, a lot of illustration is highly desirable in a divulgation paper, but in a Research Paper it is suggested to include one or two at most, and only to depict some relevant procedures or findings. If your purpose is divulgation, you do not need to support your claims or even provide further details, but in a research paper you must provide an adequate reference for any statements you make. Reviewers' comments are not intended to annoy you. Their purpose is help you to improve the quality of your paper. Reviewers are peer academicians with expertise in your field, and their questions are addressed to identify questions that may appear on the mind of the casual reader, so you can tackle them beforehand. For instance, when in the previous review I asked you " Clarify what big farmers and small farmers mean," , I was expected to see something as "small farmers own 10 ha; big farmers hold more than 10 ha". In its current terms, the concepts are quite subjective, and your answer "Big farmers mean more cultivable area, small farmer mean less cultivable area" does not clarify the point. Most author's comments are equally ambiguous and do not add to clarity. Finally, some reviewer's comments were nor answered nor considered.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>José Alfredo Villagómez-Cortés</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Facultad de Medicina Veterinaria y Zootecnia, Universidad Veracruzana, Veracruz, Mexico</i>