



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_30765
Title of the Manuscript:	COOPERATIVE AND CONTRACT FARMING FOR EXPORT CROPS IN THE GUATEMALA HIGHLANDS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments		
	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
	Abstract - Please write shorter and more concise abstract. Abstract should be written in one paragraph. Line 58-59 - Should be written "... markets are more concentrated than they used to be, at all level..." (no need to put "."). Line 43-71 - Paragraphing. Please write shorter paragraph because it will be easier to attain readers' attention with shorter sentence. Don't be afraid to segregate your idea into smaller paragraph. Make sure the paragraphs are segregated into cohesively. Please rephrase line 83-86. Line 87 - What CCCC stands for? Line 89 - "... zuchini and sugar snap peas." Line 91 - Rephrase, grammar Table 1 - What is LF, TA and T? Unfamiliar readers would be left guessing. Line 131 to 134 - Please rephrase as I do not understand what you are trying to convey here.	<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments



SDI Review Form 1.6

	Line 252 - "Below is a description about the type of variables, questions from the survey and codification of answers."	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Line 160 - Who are these downstream actors? Please elaborate. Line 254 to 269 - Good that author decides to include description of thee variable here. My suggestion is, perhaps the description of the variables and the codification of the answers to be presented systematically using a table. That way, the written information will be better presented and tidy.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Line 97 to 125 - talks about CCCC network of actors and services. It is good that the author tries to describe the networking in length here. But it is better to illustrate the dynamics of the actors in a flowchart or figure. If it is possible, capture it in illustrative figure, but if it is not possible it is okay.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hafizan Mohamad Naim
Department, University & Country	Department of Politics and International Relations, Faculty of Social Sciences, University Malaysia Sarawak, Malaysia