



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_30439
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of Climate Change Adaptive and Coping Strategies of Women Farmers in Mmaku Mountainous Community Awgu Area Enugu State Nigeria
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	- The author must provide a bit more details on the statistical inference such as the variance in the response - It will be beneficial to also show the difference in results of the core farmers" and "working class farmers" (partial farmers). - bThe author also referred to tables which can't be found in the paper - The results of the paper does not have a link with the recommendation. According to the author only 75 respondent out of 220 which is about 34% did not know about climate change. I do not see the essence of such recommendations of training and sensitization programmes needed in the study area to create more awareness on the causes, impact and prevention of climate change as an issue. Even with this small 34%, it largely depends on the way the question was framed. it will be nice for the author to add the question posed for clarity.	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	- The author should put in the paper the basis for such classification.; no knowledge, inadequate knowledge and adequate knowledge about climate change. It look to vague. Some of the results are too strange to having emanated from farmers. For example 20.9% of the respondent stated that burning of fossil fuels mostly from energy causes climate change, 28.6% said that bush burning is one of the causes 9.5% agreed that industrialization is among the causes of climate change. The author should present the characteristics of the farmers, particularly their educational levels.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	The results are not consistent and justifiable.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Emmanuel Nyadzi</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Wageningen University and Research Centre, Netherlands</i>