



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_30362
Title of the Manuscript:	Vegetables Cultivation Messages Selection for Development of Media Package for Rural Women of Haryana
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. The abstract is too lengthy. It should be reduced to about 200 – 250 words. Explanations on the methodology in the abstract can be moved to the body of the work. 2. The work should be edited for grammatical errors. For example, in line 32, “ and are increasing trends” should be written “increasing trends”, line 39, “lacking behind” should be written “lagging behind”, line 42 “ “majority” should be changed to “most”, line 49 “1/3th” should be written “ one-third”, line 52, “near about” should be written “about” “rural area” should be “rural areas” “illiteracy rate are” should be “illiteracy rate is” 3. Ranking is written in the form of 1st, 2nd, 3rd, etc., and I, II, III. 4. There is no conclusion and recommendation based on the study.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Aja, Ogbonnaya Okoro</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Department of Agricultural Extension, Federal University of Technology Owerri, Nigeria</i>