



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_29934
Title of the Manuscript:	Perception of arable crop farmers on usage of organic fertilizer in maize production
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The manuscript has the potential to be accepted for publication, but, presents some failures, especially in the introduction, in the description of the methodology and conclusion. The introduction is poor; has no basis for the manuscript. None of the introduction citations are in discussion. Most of the citations are old. The methodology is difficult to understand. There is not a model questionnaire that was applied. The conclusions are simply an extension of the results and discussion. The conclusion does not support the hypothesis.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Fernando Marcelo Chiamolera
Department, University & Country	Department of Agronomy, Univ. Estadual de Maringá, Brazil