



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_28949
Title of the Manuscript:	SOCIO ECONOMIC ASSESSMENT OF GINGER PRODUCTION IN JABA LOCAL GOVERNMENT AREA OF KADUNA STATE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Line 7: scientific name must be italicized Line 128: Work has already been done so grammar should be revised accordingly Line 130: The use of "will" should be revised accordingly as work has already been done. This is not a proposal. Line 142: Grammar should be revised to indicate work has been completed Line 151: Revise to reflect completed work	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Paper well written and should be published after the revisions recommended are made.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Elvis Djam Miensah</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Department of Nuclear Sciences and applications, University of Ghana, Ghana</i>