



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_38332
Title of the Manuscript:	Economics of organic Turmeric (Curcuma longa) Cultivation in Kandhamal district of Odisha
Type of the Article	Analysis

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. Background part of the study is not visible 2. Literature review is not sound 3. Methodology is not clearly defined for the study 4. Suggestion part is also not visible 5. Few references, should be more 6. Future research is not present 7. purpose and problem of the study not clear	I made it visible. I made it sound. I made it define clearly. I gave some policy suggestions. I have given. I made it clear.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		