



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_38241
Title of the Manuscript:	Contribution of Integrated Farming System for Livelihood Security of Tribal's in Pachamalai Hill of Tiruchirappalli District
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. The methodology mentions that the author collected primary data/ information from locals (the abstract MUST contain relevant information like methods, result and importance of the research) 2. The author did not state the economic model used in this research 3. At least a small chunk of the results found should be shown in the abstract. 4. Please check the referencing style 5. There is not enough literature from previous writers. Poverty is a huge economic problem (just as the author stated) so there is a wide variety of work from other writers that can be reference herein to support the findings. There are only about 7 references. 6. It seems the author only quoted Indian writers, to add more meat to your work, please check	Thank you for your valuable suggestion to add value of submitted article. Accepted your suggestions and will be rectified in the revised copy. I will add more reference to support my findings.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		