



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_38148
Title of the Manuscript:	Artisanal Fishing in Kerala backwaters: A Socioeconomic Assessment of Indian Coracles
Type of the Article	Case Study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Insert a map with the study area. Avoid words like "clear", line 161. Titles of figures and tables are very incomplete. There is a lack of basic information, such as: depth of activity, how long fishermen are involved in the activity, characterization of the fishing net, which species of fish are caught, how many days a week they perform the activity, how the coracle is obtained, ... Key words: <i>Coracles, Fisheries, Sustainable fishing, Migration, Socio-economics, Kerala, Fishermen</i> Estuaries are generally areas with tidal variability. This usually affects fishing activity. This information should come at work. Do not repeat in the text the information of the figures / tables. Line 39-41: Patronize nomenclature. To talk about sustainable fisheries, there must be more information about catches and fishing methods. You need more details about them. Rewrite the conclusion. There is a lot of information that is not the conclusion of the study. These results need to be discussed with other studies elsewhere. Arrange the references.	Considering suggestions of the reviewer a map of the study area is inserted in the manuscript. Manuscript is revised to correct flaws in language. Basic information regarding species, fishing operation, fishing net, days of fishing operation etc are already mentioned under the heading "Details of Fishing Operation" (3.6) The technique is considered as sustainable fishing method as it creates no negative impacts to environment and are using allowed methods of fishing. Conclusion is also revised. References are arranged according to author guidelines of the journal. All the suggestions are considered and necessary changes are made in the manuscript.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	The study is relevant as a first of its kind done in the area and in the technique. However, there are several aspects that can improve.	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>