



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_38123
Title of the Manuscript:	INVOLVEMENT OF FARM HOUSEHOLDS IN BUSH BUCK (Gongronema latifolium) PRODUCTION IN ANAMBRA STATE, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Line 10. Were instead of was In the introduction, tell us the effect of bush buck globally. Line 66, show the current population. This is because year 2006 is cannot reflect the population today. In the methodology section, you have not shown the research design used, sampling procedure, validity of the instruments and reliability of the instruments. Line 198 such as.	- Line 10 has been corrected (highlighted in yellow) - Global benefits of Bush buck is based on its effect in human health of which more research has been added based on secondary data - Line 66: National Population Commission of Nigeria's (NPC) last census was in 2006. It is the only NPC recognised in Nigeria. - Concerning the sampling procedure, it has been reflected (Lines 90-96) - The summary of sampling procedure, validity and reliability of were reflected LINES- 73-86. .
Minor REVISION comments	None	
Optional/General comments	None	