



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_37967
Title of the Manuscript:	An Empirical Assessment of Seafood Export performance and Competitiveness in Gujarat, India
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	No Literature Review? Author(s) have provided interesting evidence but the study lacks from a solid literature review. It is recommended to critically discuss limitations of past literature to gain support for the current study.	Review of Literature for the study is incorporated as it was suggested and highlighted in revised manuscript.
Minor REVISION comments	Check Line 36, 44, 58, 123. Express signs notation in Euros, Rupees etc	Note is attached in revised manuscript wherever any sign or symbol is used.
Optional/General comments	Novel ideas/concepts/techniques- Good	Thanking you for appreciation