



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_37159
Title of the Manuscript:	Analysis of Perceived Constraints and Improvement Strategies of Development Interventions among Rural Women in Imo State, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	- I did not find the references - Ike and Uzokwe (2011), line 153 e 154, page 7 - What does that mean? FADAMA, SURE P. line 201, page 10 - I did not find the text: Jibowo, A.A (1992). Essentials of Rural Sociology. Pg. 224. Abeokuta, Gbemi Sodipo Press Limited. - Several rural development intervention programs were cited with the year they were established, it is important to quote them in the references, with the respective sites to be located by anyone who has an interest. line 44 a 52, page 2 e 3.	- Ike and Uzokwe (2011) has been added - FADAMA meaning has been put there while full meaning of SURE-P has been included. - Jibowo, 1992 has been removed - The months of data collection has been included in the methodology. Thanks, i appreciate the reviewer's comment and i have corrected them in the manuscript. The several rural development interventions that were mentioned were compiled from me through several literatures, since i made the compilation, i became the source from my Ph.D work. I think to my best understanding i have done all the correction and they are highlighted in Yellow
Optional/General comments	All tables give the period in which data collection was performed, however, it would be interesting if the data collection date was also included in the summary and methodology, as it would be easier to find the location for the reader who started reading the article.	