



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_36892
Title of the Manuscript:	Determinants of Cropping Pattern Changes in Andhra Pradesh
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1) After Data and Methodology section, make a separate section for Results where all results should be included. 2) In results, It would have been better if cropping pattern tables are replaced by graphical representation 3) Line no. 273-276 doesn't give any clear idea about horticulture. Elaborate regarding horticulture. If possible include numbers regarding horticulture. 4) Line no. 328, word no. 11- delete c 5) Table No. 9- Provide forms of caption headings in footnote.	I have revised and incorporated all the comments suggested by the respective reviewer.
Minor REVISION comments	More references (Recent) should be added. Check grammatical and typographical errors.	I have added the necessary review of literature in the revised manuscript
Optional/General comments	Results are well drawn. Appreciate efforts especially with reference statistical analysis.	Thank for the comments and suggestions.