



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_36892
Title of the Manuscript:	Determinants of Cropping Pattern Changes in Andhra Pradesh
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	References need to be reviewed because they are limited to the case of India. There are no other references in the world. This means that at the level of the discussion you have to compare your results to those obtained by other authors throughout the world. Why choose the study period 1970-71 at 2004-05? Is there no data for the last few years? The article is a bit long	Thank for the comments and suggestions. I have revised and incorporated all the comments suggested by the respective reviewer. Concerning the study period of this paper, the data is available for the recent period but manuscript is part of my Ph.D. work which is considered the period from 1970-71 to 2004-05.
Optional/General comments		