



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_36873
Title of the Manuscript:	Farm and Non-farm Income Diversification in Selected Areas of Sunamganj District of Bangladesh
Type of Article:	Original Research Article

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
I appreciate the improvement of your manuscript. However, several minor comments need to be addressed for the better quality: 1) List keywords alphabetically 2) There should be reference(s) for Line 30-31 3) I am afraid that "so much time consuming" (Line 102-103) has a subjective meaning. Have you ever considered that your samples spend the exact same time listening and answering your questions? 4) It is easily spotted that you used two different fonts: Arial and Times New Roman. Please choose one throughout the text. And also the space between words and sub-section. 5) Did you use a list of question to guide the FGD? If yes, you could add it in the Appendixes so that the readers know the information gathered from the FGD is guided. It is important to know that the listed coping strategies are originally pointed out (and possibly have been tried) by the community or not. You could add scientific literature to support or disagree with those coping strategies in your Discussion section. 6) Give series of example on the farmers' problems (Line 203). It is unclear what has been the important issues pointed out by the farmers (Line 207). 7) Conclusion should be in more general level. I think it should be possible to go beyond the case in your Conclusion. Bring in sustainability issues in your Introduction (3-5 sentences with references) and link the 12 listed coping strategies with it in the Conclusion. Be careful on drawing conclusion, economic growth does not always positively correlated with the local culture and environment. Put your interpretation in broader context, i.e.: is the modern and newly introduced method of cultivation and fishing good for the environment? Is it in a line with the local government regulation? Are the local community welcome with the new intervention? Etc.	1) Review has been done. 2) Review has been done. 3) Review has been done. 4) Review has been done. 5) Review has been done. 6) Review has been done. 7) Review has been done.