



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_36789
Title of the Manuscript:	Importance of Field Extension Training for Farmers of Alfalfa (Medicago sativa L.) to Adopt Weed Control Techniques
Type of Article:	Case study or Scientific & Data Notes Article ??

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
Much better presentation. Manuscript flowed more easily and was definitely easier to read. As for increased explanation of your methodology, it isn't that you did not employ correct methods; it is that your techniques are good and you could expand so that others are able to replicate. As for my sample size concerns, it is not the percentage of the land sampled within your test population. My concerns relate to the actual number of farmers you sampled. Anything in agriculture is heavily influenced by regional techniques. By sampling only 6 and 22 farmers, you seriously bias your dataset to the responses of those farmers and their predisposed methods. It isn't that the data is "wrong" it is just that it is very specific to that one area. By changing your article to a purely site/region specific manuscript you remove some of my concern, but larger sample sizes (farmers in this case) would add much needed strength to your statistical findings. All in all, much better this time around.	Thanks dear for your valuable comments. In fact, the smaller size sample pushed me to withdraw this manuscript last year from this journal publication. The similar reason pushed me also to suggest publishing this time in a mode of data & scientific notes article instead of a case study article. You know that data notes article has not any conclusion and a statistical analysis. So there were no any conclusions to generalize. It just a simple parameters taken to read and get an indicator of what had occurred in this region. Anyhow, i need your comment related the sections of scientific note article. Do you think it is impressed to publish without conclusion section ? Note that yellow highlighted modifications are revised by the second reviewer. Please, let me know if you would like to modify or change. All the Best !!