



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_36789
Title of the Manuscript:	Importance of Field Extension Training for Farmers of Alfalfa (Medicago sativa L.) to Adopt Weed Control Techniques
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	I find this a good article addressing a very important issue. Alfa alfa is a major crop and weed control is always a big problem for farmers. I suggest publication after a few revisions. 1) In the methodology there is no description of the machine used for spraying, please add this information 2) The training seems to be mainly focused on weed identification and chemical weed control. I would expect, in this context, more interest about non-chemical weed control (mechanical/physical methods). Please provide more information on this point in the discussion part. Please extend the last part of the conclusion section where you talk about mechanical, physical and biological weed control alternatives. I think it is very important.	Lines 93, 96, and 130 spraying tool name added. Lines 230 – 240: added (weed control methods) Line 244 : added Line 71: time saving added
Minor REVISION comments		Cut changed to harvest Statistical formulas reviewed completely Numbers changed into letters (like 9 times changed to nine times)
Optional/General comments	I checked here http://www.sciencedomain.org/page/general-guideline-for-authors#Type_of_papers about "Case study" articles. If I am not mistaken this category is mainly for bio-medical journals. I checked some original research articles of your journal and I don't find them very far from this manuscript. In my opinion, if the authors were willing to extend the results or discussion part adding some data/information about the effect of the training on weed control methods (not only weed identification capacity of the farmers), this manuscript could be an original research article. However, this choice is up to the Editor and the authors.	In My opinion: It is up to editor I think this is good and please provide me more feedback on the grammar and language. I suggest the following: - Convert the article to data article instead of case study and remove the conclusion. - After publishing I will put the paper on my research gate page so please confirm your name as a reviewer or editor. Cite from me to support Asian Journal