



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_36324
Title of the Manuscript:	Economic Analysis of Maize Production and Marketing in Khammam District, Telangana
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Referencing: Thirteen of the twenty five references cited in the text were in the reference list. Eleven references cited in lines 81, 146, 173, 175, 320, 321, 343, 380, 392, 454, 673 were not on the list. Also some references (lines 922, 925, 936, 938) which appear on the list were not cited in the text.	Ok.
Minor REVISION comments	Line 76 ~~m-ha~~ should read million hectares Line 78 ~~m-t~~ should be million metric tons Line 86 write in full what Qt means Line 120 be consistent by choosing either % or percent. Line 149 to Line 246 <u>Study area section</u> is too long, it should be summarised into one or two pages containing relevant issues connected with the findings of the study. Line 308 ~~retunes~~ should be return Line 343 ~~Coeliet al,~~ 1998 should be Coelli et al, 1998 Line 345 ~~Jondrowet al~~ should be Jondrow et al, Line 673 ~~Oguniyi.~~ 2008 should be Oguniyi, 2008	
Optional/General comments	The paper should be proof read thoroughly in order to correct the spelling and grammatical errors.	