

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	AJAEES_36324
Title of the Manuscript:	Economic Analysis of Maize Production and Marketing in Khammam District, Telangana
Type of the Article	Journal article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. The article is too lengthy for a typical journal publication. Paper should be reduced without losing the substance to a maximum of 12-15pages. 2. No clear cut research problem was stated in the paper's introduction and abstract. This forms the basis of its worth or significance. Objectives should also be explicitly provided in the introduction. 3. Too many irrelevancies in the methodology. 4. Many literature materials were used without indicating the sources in the body of the paper. 5. Findings generally lacked cross-referencing. 6. Implications of most findings were not drawn. 7. There are a number of grammatical errors. E.g. Findings should be reported in past tense. 8. Discussion should be in-built into the results' section. 9. Use the current APA referencing style to list your references.	Ok.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	NIL	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	NIL	