



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_36077
Title of the Manuscript:	Factoring of attributes influencing consumption of greens among family members in selected Rural and Urban families of Madurai District of Tamil Nadu State in India
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of **'Jack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The research methodology is incomplete; - It is not very clear what method and research tool were used in the study. Is it a questionnaire? - There is no information provided about the research hypothesis; - The research tool used in the study is not explained/ described – How many questions did the questionnaire have? What is the response scale? How was the response scale determined? What are the socio-demographic data of respondents taken into consideration? - There is no information provided about where, when and how the research was conducted. - Are the findings of the study consistent with the findings of other studies? - What is the originality / particularity / novelty of this study, compared to other similar studies? - Was the respondents' agreement obtained for participation in the research ? - Some ethical issues: - Did the authors explain to the respondents the purpose and role of the research? Did the anonymity of the respondents and the confidentiality of the data have been ensured? (If is the case) - Has the informed consent been applied? (If is the case) - For the consistency (a better justification) of the study, could be mentioned some data / statistics on the consumption of greens in the region where the	The line number 94-96 of the corrected article tells about the research tool used for the study As the study was aimed at exploring the factors responsible for consumption of greens and the research design was exploratory research design, no hypothesis was set for the study The details about number of questions, response scale were given in line number 90-98 of the corrected article The details about the locale of research (why and how the study area was chosen) and the time when it was conducted and the method has been given in methodology section of the article Corroboration of findings with previous studies was given in line number 165-166 of the corrected article Originality/novelty of the study was given in line number 58-61 of the corrected article. The ethical issues were discussed in line number 102-105 of the corrected article
Minor REVISION comments	-	
Optional/General comments		