



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics &amp; Sociology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_36066
Title of the Manuscript:	Market Structures And Concentration Measuring Techniques
Type of the Article	Method Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>Currently, the paper suffers from a lack of contribution to academic knowledge. The author should think of some way in which the comparison of various measures will have a useful outcome rather than just vague comments and unsupported assertions. Perhaps the author could find some data for one or more suitable markets and use this to illustrate different ways in which the various measures perform. Imaginary data is not valid for this purpose.</p> <p>The literature review is inadequate. It is simply a list of papers addressing the subject and a summary of each one. There is no attempt to identify gaps in knowledge which the paper could then address.</p> <p>A discussion section is required which considers the academic contribution to knowledge.</p>	<p>I tried to get benefit from reviewer's evaluation. I would like to thank him. I aimed to give information about concentration measures in the paper. After giving theoretical information, I compared the concentration measures. As the subject is a theoretical study, I made evaluations by establishing model. I'm planning to do the field study that the reviewer has suggested for my future studies.</p> <p>I have scanned 35 literatures. I could have scanned more, of course. However, I didn't want to ignore the technical limitations of the journal that the paper will be published.</p>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		