



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_35751
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessing farmers' knowledge on the role of cowpea in improving soil fertility in cropping systems in Southern Cameroon.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	This is a well-constructed and informative paper, especially in the area of sociology. However, the results could be discussed more rigorously in comparison with other authors, without a need for splitting the "Results" and "Discussion" as subsections.	I read from the template that the two sections could be separated. " The discussion should not repeat the results, but provide detailed interpretation of data. This should interpret the significance of the findings of the work. Citations should be given in support of the findings. The results and discussion part can also be described as separate, if appropriate".
Minor REVISION comments	As commented in the PDF text.	Concerning the headings in the abstract, I simply respected the template. I think the pie chart is clear enough with all the labels. Since it is black and white, a legend may not be so helpful because the sections look alike.
Optional/General comments	N/A	