



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_35516
Title of the Manuscript:	Identifying sustainability assessment indicators for assessing the sustainability of smallholder integrated farms in coastal West Bengal, India
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	-Write the abstract to include topic; methodology used to gather data; main findings; main conclusions and any recommendations -Introduction is fairly well written -Methodology good but make it clear to tie up with objectives of study -Let all results lie within the framework of objectives and theoretical framework -Conclusions are good but strengthen them by provision of specific support facts -Include description and map of study area especially beneficial to international readers need not to number references and should arrange them in alphabetical order	- Largely rewritten the abstract (refer to the track changed manuscript) - We believe that the methodology section is now clearly showing how the objectives would be achieved by using the specific methods. For e.g. we clearly state the selection of initial indicators; the selection of experts; and the selection of final set of indicators in three separate sections - We have taken the Tables to the R & D section that clearly shows the indicator scores computed from expert rating and their selection made against a set of criteria (see Table's column heads) - A section describing the findings from data is now included in the Conclusion section - Included a small section on Study area; Standard map has also been provided - We have followed the authors' guidelines while writing and arranging references
Minor REVISION comments	-Proofread your work to do away with minor mistakes such as spelling errors and sentence construction	Checked/corrected throughout the manuscript
Optional/General comments	None	