SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJAEES_34998**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Effectiveness of Agricultural Information and Communication Center in Technology Transfer to the Farmers in Bangladesh**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**Author should improve the following(1) The Abstract: Write few lines about the study, then the objectives and brief methodology,(2) Introduction: Clearly mention the objective of the study.(3) Methodology: Write the sample plan clearly, write the correct statistical tools used in the study and mention the variables. [4]Result and Discussion: Discussion the findings of the table and if possible write some similar studies to support your findings.** **[5]Bibliography: Add the reference Majydyan(1996) in the bibliography**	According to suggestions made by the reviewer, the manuscript is modified indicating by yellow colour.
Minor REVISION comments	Editing is required on the whole work, especially on the use of grammar and sentences Line 132 write had lower adequate instead of were lowly	Already Modified
Optional/General comments	Author should improve the manuscript generally, the result and discussion part it is too scanty. Discussion can be done in the findings	Already Modified