SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJAEES_34873**
Title of the Manuscript:	**PROFITABILITY OF GINGER FARMING TO SMALLHOLDER GROWERS IN TANZANIA - CASE OF SAME DISTRICT**
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**1. Check the abstract to effect the correction as highlighted** **2. Introduction and literature review should be reduced, if possible merged so that the two will not be more than 3 pages instead of about 7 pages occupied.** **3. Check methodology for appropriate correction** **4. Remove anything information not result or statement for discussing the result from "Presentation and discussion of findings"** **5. Text should come before the figures in result presentation** **6. Please corroborate the results with relevant past studies of your own or other researchers.** **7. The whole report of the study is too long for journal like this. I suggest the whole presentation be reduced to a sizable length. You may wish to check published papers in this journal for a guide.**	CHECKED DONE CHECKED DONE DONE DONE REDUCED SIGNIFICANTLY
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		