



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_34873
Title of the Manuscript:	PROFITABILITY OF GINGER FARMING TO SMALLHOLDER GROWERS IN TANZANIA - CASE OF SAME DISTRICT
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Compulsory REVISION comments	List of acronyms: there is no need for this, full meaning of any abbreviation used should be stated at first instance Literature Review: 1.cultivation of ginger constitutes the bulk of literature reviewed here . The write up is not necessary. The analytical model stated here would be more appropriate under methodology. I would suggest the whole of section 2 be removed. This would also reduce the length of the manuscript. Results and discussion: the charts could be replaced with a single table of frequency distribution and percentages	AGREE AND REVISED Literature Review SECTION DELETED NOT AGREE EACH FIGURE SHOULD BE SELF EXPLANATORY
Minor REVISION comments	Abstract: 1. "smallholder's growers" in the abstract should be smallholder growers or more preferably smallholder farmers. 2. The text of the abstract should be without any indentation or paragraph. 3. Need to reframe some statements e.g "Farmers presented the major problems in the subsector to have been affecting ginger farming were price (54.5%), inadequate capital (27%)." As "major problems affecting ginger farming in the district were price (54.5%) and inadequate capital (27%)." "Others mentioned factors poor transportation..." could be reframe as "other factors include poor transportation..."	STRONGLY AGREE STRONGLY AGREE AND CORRECTED FRAMES AS SUGGESTED



SDI Review Form 1.6

	4. note use of comma and spelling of “from” in ‘use of improved seeds.. The income <u>form</u> ginger contributes’ 5. the last sentence in the abstract is incomplete, should be checked and correct Introduction: 1.note “As reported <u>as</u> the 2012” should be “As reported <u>at</u> the 2012” 2.not ‘Ginger is an of the’ should be “Ginger is <u>one</u> of the..”	DONE EDITED REPHRASED
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	38 pages are just too much for an original scientific paper. It should be reduced to 15 pages or better still as recommended. There are unnecessary spacing which if removed would reduce the length of the manuscript. I do not know the journal recommended format but I want to belief the format was not used in preparing the manuscript.	REDUCED TO 18 PGS