



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_31837
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative Economics of Traditional viz High Density Mango Cultivation in Karnataka
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	In the Introduction section; The Author must give some literature information.. Because There are many informations abaout Mango growing, but literature is absent...	Thanks for suggestion and literatures are in incorporated in the final submission now.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	In the 50. Line; It should be canopy (Anon., 2008). In the 71.line; randomly. Hence (After point, a space must be left). In the same line; 60 were.... Space must be left..	Needful done Needful done
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Paper must be corrected for the punctuations and spaces between the words..	Needful done