



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_31812
Title of the Manuscript:	Sustainable Livelihood System of the Tribes in Chhattisgarh State of India: A Tribe's Perspective Analysis
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Abstract: Use the symbol % in all cases. Relate the importance of evaluating the Tribal Sustainable Livelihoods. The abstract is much superficial in this form. Results and discussion: This part of manuscript could be provided in a simple way. The present form is not concise. Try to select the more important information. The results provided a manuscript boring for reading. In order to arrive at the conclusions demonstrated, this excess of information is not necessary.	1. Replacing word per cent/ percentages with symbol % in the entire manuscript. 2. Abstract: I have edited this portion according to instructions given by the reviewer. 3. Results and discussion: I have edited this portion as per the suggestions given by the reviewer. 4. Conclusions: I have also edited this portion as per the suggestions given by the reviewer. 5. All these corrections and edited portions are highlighted by the yellow colour.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		