



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_31129
Title of the Manuscript:	Farmers' knowledge towards the role of extension services in agricultural development in Opolski County, Lubelskie Province of Poland
Type of the Article	Research article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The title is of the research article is of great importance and the article covers the topic very well. Areas such as research the introduction and the methodology were well written and nicely organised However, in order to make the research article looks better and stronger, there are few notes and suggestions that need to be done. - The English structure in the article must be edited and revised. There are many statements that have weak structure - In the Abstract- in the first line, it was stated that (This research aimed to identifying and evaluate the farmers' knowledge in Opolski County towards the role of extension service in the agriculture development , to identifying the farmers' knowledge in Opolski County in each item/statement of research (in scheduled questionnaire) and to identifying correlation between the farmers' knowledge It should be corrected to : (This research aimed at identifying and evaluating farmers' knowledge in Opolski County towards the role of extension services in the agriculture development , to identifying the farmers' knowledge in Opolski County in each item/statement of research (in scheduled questionnaire) and identifying correlation between the farmers' knowledge.. - Replace (extension service) with extension services all over the text. - In the Abstract, it was stated (..... Agricultural extension methods help to transfer of	Author Facebook https://www.facebook.com/abo.aws.501 I have corrected these comments.



SDI Review Form 1.6

	agricultural information) It should be corrected to (..... Agricultural extension methods help in transferring agricultural information) • In the Introduction, it was stated that (The agricultural extension was primarily undertaking technology transfer activities in the past but now it also focuses on the facilitation of all the steps involved in the whole farming process. Nowadays, extension goes beyond training, learning and helping) It should be corrected to (The agricultural extension was primarily undertaking technology transfer activities in the past, but now it also focuses on the facilitation of all the steps involved in the whole farming process. Nowadays, extension goes beyond training, teaching and helping.... (Replace learning with teaching) • In the Introduction, it was stated that (.... (Birnor et al. 2009). In the LOR (List Of References), it was (Birnor et al. 2006). Which one is correct ? • In the Introduction, it was stated (According to Jones and Garforth (1997), ...) These names do not exist in the LOR • Under (Methods of agricultural production) it was stated (...agricultural production. Which maybe because the farmers who use the methods of plants and animals, they try to know and getting the information and knowledge towards the role of extension service from different sources in order to use these information and knowledge in their farms and agricultural work. This paragraph as well as most of the text needs to be revised.	• I have corrected these comments. • I have corrected these comments. • I have corrected these comments. • I have corrected these comments. • I have corrected these comments. • I have corrected these comments. • I have corrected these comments.
--	---	--



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	• Under the RESEARCH METHODOLOGY, it was stated that Through the collection of answers of farmer about these items, the author has got the final Should be corrected to gotten.... • Under the RESEARCH METHODOLOGY, it was stated that (.... Thus, the range of answer for this variable....) Should be corrected to (Thus, the range of answers for this variable • Under the (Conclusions) the second statement (Farmers in Opolski County, have equipped with high knowledge towards the roleofagricultural extension Should be corrected to (Farmers are equipped ... • Under the (Conclusions) the third statement (Farmers are suffering also from a lack and have low knowledge about the contribution of agricultural extension A lack of what ?	• I have corrected these comments. • I have corrected these comments. • I have corrected these comments.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		